

E  
211  
.B97  
1920



Class F 124

Book 1 7 2 7

Copyright N<sup>o</sup> 50

**COPYRIGHT DEPOSIT.**





LIPPINCOTT'S CLASSICS

EDITED BY EDWIN L. MILLER, A.M.

PRINCIPAL OF THE NORTHWESTERN HIGH SCHOOL, DETROIT, MICH.

---

# BURKE'S SPEECH ON CONCILIATION WITH AMERICA

EDITED WITH NOTES AND INTRODUCTIONS

BY

EDWIN L. MILLER, A.M.



PHILADELPHIA & LONDON  
J. B. LIPPINCOTT COMPANY

**COPYRIGHT, 1920, BY J. B. LIPPINCOTT COMPANY  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED**

Oct - 2 1920

**PRINTED BY J. B. LIPPINCOTT COMPANY  
AT THE WASHINGTON SQUARE PRESS**

**PHILADELPHIA, U. S. A.  
©CL A597690**

I admire his eloquence, I approve his politics, I adore his chivalry.—*Gibbon*.

I think him the greatest man upon the earth.—*Dr. Parr*.

In amplitude of comprehension and richness of imagination superior to every orator, ancient or modern.—*Macaulay*.

The greatest philosopher in practice whom the world ever saw.—*Sir James Mackintosh*.





## PREFACE

BURKE'S Speech on Conciliation with America has been selected as Volume I of Lippincott's School Classics for two reasons. First, it is fitting that he be remembered and appreciated in the hour when, after a lapse of 142 years, England and America are once more united "by ties which, though light as air, are as strong as links of iron." Second, he is of all writers the most timely at a moment when too many people are being seduced into believing that liberty can exist without law and happiness without order.



# CONTENTS

	PAGE
LIFE OF BURKE .....	II
SUGGESTIONS TO TEACHERS .....	29
CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE .....	34
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	37
QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW .....	39
SPEECH ON CONCILIATION WITH AMERICA .....	41
NOTES AND QUERIES .....	109



# EDMUND BURKE

1729-1797

EDMUND BURKE is almost as worthy of the study of Americans as George Washington and Abraham Lincoln. His life was a model of adherence to principle. As a student of the ideas on which our constitution rests he has had no peer. His prose is perhaps as artistic and powerful as can be found in any language. Throughout his life he was a consistent and undaunted advocate of law, order, and liberty. At the beginning of our revolutionary war he proposed measures which might, if adopted, have prevented the political separation of the colonies from the mother country. These principles, since written into the laws of Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa, have at all events given to the British Empire a spirit of unified loyalty which has successfully resisted the ambition of the Hohenzollerns. Among the intellectual and moral forces which will preserve it against the far more dangerous ambitions of aspiring demagogues, not the least powerful is Burke's sane advocacy of liberty secured by adequate restraints against the lawlessness of rich and poor alike. He hated both the tyranny of kings and the tyranny of mobs. To-day his writings constitute not only the best of all introductions to the study of republican government but the best of all antidotes against the tendency of the under-educated and the over-educated to revert to the morals and the practices of the stone age.

He was born in Dublin January 1, 1730, O. S. His father, Richard Burke, was an attorney and a Protestant; his mother was a Catholic. Of Edmund's early years little is known except that, while his brothers and sisters, of whom

there were fifteen, were at play, he was always at work. In 1741 he was sent to an academy kept at Ballitore by a learned and honest Quaker named Abraham Shackleton. Shackleton taught him to hate oppression and instilled into him a passion for civil and religious liberty. He did not need to teach him books, for Edmund made the reading of the classics his diversion rather than his business. Augustine Birrell says that Shackleton was also responsible for Burke's acquisition of an Irish brogue which lasted as long as Burke himself, but, as Shackleton was an Englishman from Yorkshire, the credit for this accomplishment probably belongs elsewhere. At all events, the master won the pupil's lifelong love and respect, a feat of which any teacher might be proud.

From Ballitore Burke went in 1744 to Trinity College, Dublin. His tutor within a month set him at work reading Burgersdicius, the six last Aeneids, Enchiridion, and Tabula Cebetis, all of which interfered considerably with his real studies. Burke himself worried a good deal because his interests were so varied that he was afraid he could master nothing. He also found it harder to study in town than in the country; the townsman, he said, is beset on every side. In spite of these difficulties he passed in May, 1746, a severe examination of two days in all the Greek and Roman authors of note and was consequently elected to a scholarship which gave him his board free, fifty shillings a year in the college cellar, the right to vote for members of Parliament, the rent of his rooms, his college dues, and upon graduation a chance for fifteen pounds a year more. His favorite studies at this time were Greek, Latin, philosophy, general literature, and metaphysics. He even read a few novels. Bacon's Essays, Shakespeare, and Addison were, however, his chief companions in his hours of relaxation. Demosthenes' orations, Plutarch's Lives, the plays of Euripides and Sophocles, Xenophon's Anabasis, and the poems of Horace and Virgil also won and kept his admiration. He joined a debating club, where these subjects among others were discussed:

## Edmund Burke

(a) The sailors in a ship turning pirates (Dennis for, Burke against).

(b) Catiline to the Allobroges.

Of all his studies, however, perhaps that which had the most direct and lasting influence on his style as an orator was that of Milton's prose and poetry. His admiration of Milton led him to write poetry, which was not in Milton's style, but in that of Pope, and was neither better nor worse than that of dozens of other gifted youths. Its quality may be inferred from one couplet:

Jove claim'd the verse old Homer sung,  
But God himself inspired Young.

He himself compared his poetic enthusiasm to the itch and evidently did not allow it to interfere with his studies, for he took his A. B. 1748, and his A. M. 1751.

Before receiving the latter degree he had already begun his residence in the Middle Temple, London, as a law student. English architecture, English agriculture, and Englishmen at once impressed him favorably, the first two because of their superiority to Irish, the last because they perform more than they promise. He studied law hard, but enjoyed himself, too, in a variety of profitable ways, falling in love, like the sensible Irishman he was, with Peg Woffington; rambling about England during his vacations, putting up at quaint old inns with motherly landladies, who mistook him for an author until they discovered that he always paid his bills and never got drunk; attending debating clubs; and gradually getting acquainted with a circle of great men—David Garrick, the first actor of his day; Sir Joshua Reynolds, the first painter; Oliver Goldsmith, the greatest writer; and Dr. Samuel Johnson, the author of the first real dictionary of the English language and one of the most brilliant conversationalists in all history. He was everlastingly interested in everything from agriculture to abbeys. His letters to Arthur Young on the former, says Birrell, still tremble

## Edmund Burke

with emotion. Of the latter he wrote to a friend: "I have not the least doubt that the finest poem in the English language, I mean Milton's 'Il Penseroso,' was composed in the long-resounding aisle of a mouldering cloister or ivy'd abbey. Yet after all do you know that I would rather sleep in the southern corner of a little country churchyard than in the tomb of the Capulets."

Five years went by in this fashion and the elder Burke, we may fancy, was beginning to wonder if Edmund was ever going to amount to anything. The answer came in 1756, when there appeared under his name an octavo pamphlet of 106 pages called a "Vindication of Natural Society." This was an ironical defense of the doctrines of Bolshevism written a century before the birth of Trotzky and Lenine. It is an almost perfect imitation of the style of Lord Bolingbroke, who had previously written a "Vindication of Natural Religion," in which he had attacked Christianity. Burke sought to show that, if Bolingbroke's arguments against revealed religion were sound, they were equally sound with respect to all the institutions of civilized men. It is worth remembering that Burke thus, at the beginning of his public career, made a brilliant attack upon the monstrous doctrine which to-day threatens with annihilation the civilization of Europe.

A few months later he followed this performance with an even more celebrated work entitled "A Philosophical Inquiry into the Origin of Our Ideas of the Sublime and Beautiful." Unlike the "Vindication," the "Sublime and Beautiful" is strictly original both in style and matter. Burke had toiled upon it for seven years. It was toil well spent. His ideas won both public and private approval. Critics agreed that he had laid afresh the foundations of literary criticism, and from his father there came a check for one hundred pounds, conduct which, under the circumstances, says Birrell, was both sublime and beautiful.

Exhausted by these labors, Burke took refuge in the home of Dr. Christopher Nugent, who resided with his daughter



## Edmund Burke

Mary Jane at Bath. The result was that he regained his health and lost his heart. Doctor Nugent was a Catholic but his wife and daughter were Presbyterians. Consequently, when Burke married Mary Jane, though both of them were Protestants, each had a Catholic parent. Their union was very happy. "Every care vanishes the moment I enter under my own roof," he said. Here let us leave them, in Birrell's words, where man and wife ought to be left, alone.

Marriage drove Burke back to his pen. In 1757 he published in two volumes "An Account of the European Settlements in America," which ran through seven editions and shows, if it shows nothing else, that even then Burke had made himself familiar with the subject he was to treat with such lofty genius seventeen years later. In 1758 Dodsley, the publisher, employed Burke to edit a year-book called "The Annual Register," which had two distinct advantages. It added one hundred pounds a year to Burke's income, and it forced him to become familiar with current politics.

By this time Burke's growing reputation had attracted the attention of two remarkable but very different men. Both appreciated his talents. One of them was Dr. Samuel Johnson. The other was Gerard Hamilton.

On Christmas day, 1758, Arthur Murphy dined at the table of David Garrick and received the surprise of his life. Doctor Johnson was contradicted by a man twenty years his junior, and submitted to it. The subject was India and the young man was Edmund Burke. Indeed, no great man ever praised another more highly than Johnson praised Burke. On one occasion, when he was ill, he said of Burke's conversation: "That fellow calls forth all my powers. Were I to see Burke now, it would kill me." On another he said: "No man of sense could meet Mr. Burke by accident under a gateway to avoid a shower without being convinced he was the first man in England."

Gerard Hamilton was a man of a different type. In 1755 he had made in Parliament a speech of such brilliance that

## Edmund Burke

he was appointed in 1756 a Lord of Trade and never afterward ventured to open his mouth in public. From this circumstance he acquired the nickname of Single-speech Hamilton. If, however, he was unwilling to give his country his advice, he did not hesitate to take its money. Being appointed to a lucrative sinecure in Ireland in 1761, he asked Burke, of whose intellectual powers he evidently had a keen appreciation, to become his secretary. Burke accepted and for two years served his master so well that he obtained for his aide a pension of 300 pounds a year. Hamilton entertained the idea that he could thus make Burke his bondman for life, but when Burke discovered his employer's purpose he repudiated both Hamilton and the pension. His letters at this time flame with indignation. In one of them he calls Hamilton a sullen, vain, proud, selfish, canker-hearted, envious reptile. Augustine Birrell says of these outbursts that he thanks Burke for permitting him, after the lapse of one hundred and thirty years, to warm his hands at this righteous wrath.

With the Hamilton episode Burke passed from private to public life. He speedily obtained the position of secretary to the Marquis of Rockingham, who was then the head of the Whig party. Though amiable and honorable, the Marquis was neither energetic nor great, but he won Burke's lasting esteem. He also paid his debts, which were neither few nor small. In return Burke became almost immediately the real leader of the Whig party by virtue of his knowledge, his judgment, and his energy. In order to understand the importance of the services which Burke thus rendered to mankind, it is necessary to get firmly in mind the political situation when, in 1765, he entered Rockingham's service.

In 1714 the throne of England had fallen to a German prince of the House of Hanover. This monarch reigned as George I until 1728. His successor, George II, died 1760. Both were content to be figureheads. But George III, who ascended the throne 1760, had a Prussian soul, thought Shakespeare sad stuff, and possessed female relatives. One

## Edmund Burke

of these had advised him to be king in reality as well as in name. As the age of Nero was past and that of Wilhelm II not yet arrived, it did not appear advisable to his majesty to attempt to attain this end by decapitating his own subjects or shooting the children and wives of his neighbors. He therefore chose the more humane and effective method of bribery and actually succeeded during a period of rather more than twenty years in so corrupting the House of Commons that English liberty was little more than a hollow show. Historically the most famous of his attempts to carry out his purpose is found in those attacks he made on American liberty which culminated in the American Revolution. Burke soon perceived, and perhaps was the first Englishman to do so, the tyrannical purpose of the king, and he clearly set this forth in a pamphlet entitled "Thoughts on the Present Discontents," published in 1770.

When this pamphlet appeared Burke had already sat five years in Parliament as Member from Wendover. His election had occurred just a few weeks after George Grenville, who was then Prime Minister, at the behest of his royal master, had caused Parliament to pass an act requiring that all marriage licenses, all deeds of property, all bonds, and all bills of sale issued in America must be written on stamped government paper costing from one cent to fifty dollars in order to be legal. This act, known as the Stamp Act, was in effect a violation of the fundamental principle of the British constitution. It was a violation of the principle that there must be no taxation without representation.

In America it aroused intense indignation. Stamps were burned, flags hung at half mast, newspapers issued with a death's head where a stamp should have been, and a boycott started against goods manufactured in England. Patrick Henry in the Virginia House of Burgesses said: "Cæsar had his Brutus, Charles I his Cromwell, and George III may profit by their example." A congress in which nine colonies were represented met at New York and adopted resolutions

## Edmund Burke

declaring that the colonists were entitled to all the rights of Englishmen; that, like other Englishmen, they should be taxed only by their own representatives; and that, as those representatives could not sit in Parliament, it was unconstitutional for the colonists to have their money given to the king by any but their own legislatures.

These declarations probably made no great impression on anybody in England except Edmund Burke, who was not an Englishman, but the refusal of the colonists to buy English goods was easily understood even by George III's hired Parliament, and they accordingly made haste to repeal the Stamp Act, to the great satisfaction of everybody in America. Two years later, however, Charles Townshend spoiled all this good feeling by putting through Parliament a measure which placed duties on tea, paints, paper, glass, and lead imported into America, and provided that governors and judges in America should be paid from the revenue thus collected, the purpose being to free them from the control of their constituents.

Instantly the colonies were again in turmoil. Led by Samuel Adams, of Boston, the colonists agreed to eat nothing, drink nothing, wear nothing coming from England until the duties were removed. The king threatened in retaliation to abrogate the right of trial by jury by removing to England for trial persons accused of treason. He sent soldiers to Boston. The citizens insulted them by calling them lobsters. In return the redcoats fired into a mob, killing five and wounding six. Throughout the colonies Committees of Correspondence were organized for the purpose of defying the tyranny of the king. The effect on British trade was so serious that in 1770 all of the Townshend duties were removed except that on tea.

The tea tax was small, but the principle involved was great. To test the sincerity of the Americans, it was arranged that the East India Company should ship tea to the colonists at a price so low that, even with the duty added, it should

## Edmund Burke

be cheaper than ever before. The experiment failed. At Charlestown and Philadelphia shiploads of tea were seized or sent back to England. In Boston, peaceful means having failed, a band of men disguised as Indians threw overboard \$90,000 worth of tea. In retaliation Parliament passed five acts. One remodeled the charter of Massachusetts. Another closed the port of Boston. A third provided for the trial in England of British soldiers who committed crimes in America. A fourth compelled the colonists to lodge and feed the soldiers sent to punish them. A fifth gave to Quebec certain western lands belonging to Virginia, New York, Connecticut, and Massachusetts. To enforce these acts the king sent General Gage to Boston, where he speedily found himself in a state of siege. Massachusetts carpenters would not work for him, he could buy nothing from Massachusetts farmers, and all of his supplies had to be brought from England.

These measures united America. In Virginia, Patrick Henry said: "We must fight." George Washington offered to equip a thousand men at his own expense. In September, 1774, the first Continental Congress met at Philadelphia, and drew up a Declaration asserting the right of Americans to life, liberty, property, representation on taxing bodies, and the privilege of petitioning for a redress of grievances. Of this body William Pitt said: "For solidity of reasoning, force of sagacity, and wisdom of conclusion no nation or body of men can stand in preference to the General Congress at Philadelphia."

Burke had entered the fight on behalf of America with a speech against the Stamp Act which he delivered in the House of Commons January 14, 1766. So powerful was this effort that Mr. Pitt told the House that Mr. Burke had left nothing for him to say. Doctor Johnson wrote to Bennet Langton that probably no man had ever gained such a reputation by his first appearance, and General Lee told the Prince of Poland: "An Irishman, Mr. Burke, is sprung up in the

## Edmund Burke

House of Commons who has astonished everybody with the power of his eloquence and his comprehensive knowledge of all our exterior and internal politics and commercial interests. He wants nothing but that sort of dignity annexed to rank and property in England to make him the most considerable man in the Lower House."

During the nine years which followed Burke tried in various ways to overcome this handicap. He bought a country estate. He ran in debt. He raised carrots. It was all in vain. He won a great and lasting reputation, it is true, but he could not break into the charmed circle of the British aristocracy. As he himself said: "I was not swaddled, rocked, and dandled into a legislator. *Nitor in adversum* (I struggle against opposition) is the motto for a man like me." Through it all, however, he kept his honor unsullied, and he never wavered in his fight against the king for the principles of British and hence American freedom.

In 1771 he received as a reward the position of colony agent for New York at 700 pounds a year. In 1774, on a motion of Mr. Rose Fuller to repeal the tea duty, he made a speech which drew from Lord John Townshend the exclamation: "Good God! What a man this is! How could he acquire such transcendent powers?" Then, on March 22, 1775, he delivered what is probably the greatest speech ever made in the English language in structure, in eloquence, in imaginative power, in its masterly analysis of the American character, and in the consummate statesmanship of its fundamental theme. The Constitution is the foundation of our laws; Burke's Speech on Conciliation with America is the foundation, in a way, of all the free constitutions in the world. Of it Charles James Fox said twenty years later: "Let gentlemen read this speech by day and meditate on it by night; let them peruse it again and again, study it, imprint it on their minds, impress it upon their hearts—they would there learn that representation was the sovereign remedy for every evil."

## Edmund Burke

Upon the thick skulls of George III's hired Parliament, however, Burke's eloquence was wasted. His propositions were rejected by a great majority. Within a month the battles of Lexington and Concord had been fought. As the struggle progressed Burke was the prey of mingled emotions. He did not wish America to win, for in American success he saw the ruin of the British empire; he did not wish George III to win, for in a victory for the king he saw the ruin of British liberty. In 1777 he elucidated his views on the war in one of his best pamphlets, a Letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol, of which he was at that time the representative. Doctor Robertson, the Scotch historian, sent him a present of his History of America, in return for which Burke gave him a copy of this letter, apologizing because he could offer only an ephemeral leaflet in return for an immortal work. As usually happens when a Scotchman makes a trade with an Irishman, Burke, says Augustine Birrell, on this occasion, gave more than he got. He spoke so eloquently against the employment of Indians by the British in the war that, according to one observer, Lord North would have been torn to pieces by the mob had the mob heard Burke's speech. In 1780 he lost his seat for Bristol because he advocated free trade between England and Ireland. Cornwallis surrendered October 17, 1781; on November 27, Burke made a famous speech on the principles of Lord North. Among other things he said: "Mr. Speaker, are we yet to be told of the rights for which we went to war? Oh, excellent rights! Oh, valuable rights! that have cost Great Britain thirteen provinces, four islands, a hundred thousand men, and more than seventy millions of money! . . . Oh, says a silly man, full of his prerogative of dominion over a few beasts of the field, there is excellent wool on the back of a wolf and therefore he must be sheared. What! shear a wolf? Yes. But will he comply? Have you considered the trouble? How will you get this wool? Oh, I have considered nothing, and I will consider nothing but my right; a wolf is an animal

## Edmund Burke

that has wool; all animals that have wool are to be sheared; and therefore I will shear the wolf."

The surrender of Cornwallis forced North to resign and brought Burke's party into power. Instead of being made Prime Minister, however, Burke was not even included in the Cabinet. Why a man of his commanding genius was thus ignored has been ever since a source of wonder. It was probably due to the abundance of his private enemies, to his high principles, to his Irish brogue, to the fact that he was always in debt, and to the quickness of his temper. He was given, however, the most lucrative post in England, that of Paymaster of the Forces, and proceeded at once to reform it into one of the least. Previous paymasters had pocketed the interest on the public money which they held. Burke diverted this to the coffers of the state and thus reduced his own pay from 25,300 to 4000 pounds a year.

Burke's public life has been said to fall into three divisions. The first of these, which has already been described, dealt with America; the second with the Empire of the British in India; and the third with the French Revolution.

The student who wishes to gain a vivid idea of the foundation of the British Empire in India will do well to read Macaulay's essays on Clive and Hastings. In 1785 the latter had been for some time viceroy of India. In order to send dividends to his employers, the East India Company, he had been guilty of extorting money from the natives. Burke, to whom injustice in India was the same as injustice in England and who probably knew more about India than anybody else, opened up the subject by a speech on the debts of the Nabob of Arcot. It is a masterpiece of invective. The impeachment of Hastings by the House of Commons soon followed. Macaulay's description of the opening scene is one of the finest passages in our historical literature. In part it is as follows:

"The place was worthy of such a trial. It was the great hall of William Rufus, the hall which had re-



## Edmund Burke

sounded with acclamations at the inauguration of thirty kings, the hall which had witnessed the just sentence of Bacon and the just absolution of Somers, the hall where the eloquence of Strafford had for a moment awed and melted a victorious party inflamed with just resentment, the hall where Charles had confronted the High Court of Justice with the placid courage which has half redeemed his fame. Neither military nor civil pomp was wanting. The avenues were lined with grenadiers. The streets were kept clear by cavalry. The peers, robed in gold and ermine, were marshalled by the heralds under Garter King-at-arms. The judges in their vestments of state attended to give advice on points of law. The gray old walls were hung with scarlet. The long galleries were crowded by an audience such as has rarely excited the fears or the emulation of an orator. There were gathered together, from all parts of a great, free, enlightened, and prosperous empire, grace and female loveliness, wit and learning, the representatives of every science and of every art. There were seated round the Queen the fair-haired young daughters of the House of Brunswick. There the ambassadors of great kings and commonwealths gazed with admiration on a spectacle which no other country in the world could present. There Siddons, in the prime of her majestic beauty, looked with emotion on a scene surpassing all the imitations of the stage. There the historian of the Roman Empire thought of the days when Cicero pleaded the cause of Sicily against Verres, and when, before a senate which still retained some show of freedom, Tacitus thundered against the oppressor of Africa. There were seen, side by side, the greatest painter and the greatest scholar of the age. The spectacle had allured Reynolds from that easel which has preserved to us the thoughtful foreheads of so many writers and statesmen and the sweet smiles of so many noble matrons.

It had induced Parr to suspend his labors in that dark and profound mine from which he had extracted a vast treasure of erudition—a treasure too often buried in the earth, too often paraded with injudicious and inelegant ostentation, but still precious, massive, and splendid.

“ The sergeants made proclamation. Hastings advanced to the bar, and bent his knee. The culprit was indeed not unworthy of that great presence. He had ruled an extensive and populous country, had made laws and treaties, had sent forth armies, had set up and pulled down princes. And in his high place he had so borne himself that all had feared him, that most had loved him, and that hatred itself could deny him no title to glory except virtue. He looked like a great man, and not like a bad man. His counsel accompanied him, men all of whom were afterwards raised by their talents and learning to the highest posts in their profession. But neither the culprit nor his advocates attracted so much notice as the accusers. There were Fox and Sheridan, the English Demosthenes and the English Hyperides. There was Burke, ignorant, indeed, or negligent, of the art of adapting his reasonings and his style to the capacity and taste of his hearers, but in amplitude of comprehension and richness of imagination superior to every orator, ancient or modern.

“ The charges and the answers of Hastings were first read. The ceremony occupied two whole days. On the third day Burke rose. Four sittings were occupied by his opening speech, which was intended to be a general introduction to all the charges. With an exuberance of thought and a splendor of diction which more than satisfied the highly raised expectation of the audience, he described the character and institutions of the natives of India, recounted the circumstances in which the Asiatic empire of Britain had originated, and set forth the constitution of the Company and of the

## Edmund Burke

English presidencies. Having thus attempted to communicate to his hearers an idea of Eastern society as vivid as that which existed in his own mind, he proceeded to arraign the administration of Hastings as systematically conducted in defiance of morality and public law. The energy and pathos of the great orator extorted expressions of unwonted admiration from the stern and hostile Chancellor, and, for a moment, seemed to pierce even the resolute heart of the defendant. The ladies in the galleries, unaccustomed to such displays of eloquence, excited by the solemnity of the occasion, and perhaps not unwilling to display their taste and sensibility, were in a state of uncontrollable emotion. Handkerchiefs were pulled out; smelling-bottles were handed round; hysterical sobs and screams were heard; and Mrs. Sheridan was carried out in a fit. At length the orator concluded. Raising his voice till the old arches of Irish oak resounded, 'Therefore,' said he, 'hath it with all confidence been ordered by the Commons of Great Britain, that I impeach Warren Hastings of high crimes and misdemeanors. I impeach him in the name of the Commons' House of Parliament, whose trust he has betrayed. I impeach him in the name of the English nation, whose ancient honor he has sullied. I impeach him in the name of the people of India, whose rights he has trodden underfoot, and whose country he has turned into a desert. Lastly, in the name of human nature itself, in the name of both sexes, in the name of every age, in the name of every rank, I impeach the common enemy and oppressor of all.

The trial thus begun lasted for fourteen years and ended in the acquittal of the defendant. Those years of labor, however, were not wasted. The fruit of Burke's efforts has been a century and a quarter of better government for a nation of two hundred and fifty millions of people.

## Edmund Burke

In the meantime revolution had, in 1789, broken out in France. Orderly at first, as in Russia under Kerensky, it gradually degenerated into a reign of terror not wholly unlike the state of affairs under Trotzsky and Lenine. Burke saw in it not a defense of liberty safeguarded by law but an attack upon law by all the powers of anarchy. Some of Burke's critics have accused him of inconsistency because, while he supported the Americans in their resistance to tyranny, he denounced the French. In reality he was thoroughly consistent. In upholding the Americans he was defending the ancient British principle, "No taxation without representation." In opposing the French he was defending established government against anarchy. As a matter of fact Burke was the High Priest, as somebody has called him, of Law and Order. In his opinion, says one of his critics, the mountains of prejudice and the rivers of custom are necessary to protect such civilization as the human race has been able to attain. He thought, and the years 1914-1919 have proved that only a thin crust of law and custom protects mankind against the lava of anarchy.

Accordingly in 1790 he published his "Reflections on the French Revolution." The book found a responsive chord in the hearts of the English public. Almost overnight it converted its author from the most unpopular into the most popular man in the country. It speedily ran through fourteen editions. It must have sounded to his contemporaries like a prophet's word. In it he foretold bloodshed, anarchy, and the downfall of the French republic. Three years after its appearance came the reign of terror and nine years after the foundation of the empire of Napoleon. To us the book is important because in it Burke, instead of trying to upset existing institutions, employs his sagacity to discover the why and wherefore of their existence. When the fundamental principles of social order are questioned and the foundations of society seen to be crumbling underneath our feet, these teachings of his are peculiarly valuable.

## Edmund Burke

It is not to be supposed, however, that his views on the French Revolution were philosophically correct. He was perhaps too close to it to understand its nature. It was really nothing but the revolt of a noble, civilized, and intelligent race against centuries of intolerable oppression. Even the reign of terror caused only four thousand deaths, about one two-hundredth as many as resulted from the Seven Years' War and an inconsiderable number in comparison with the ten millions or so who perished to satisfy Hohenzollern ambition 1914-1918. Thomas Paine asked pointedly, having reference to Burke's lamentations for the fate of Marie Antoinette, if men were to weep over the plumage and forget the dying bird, meaning that the fate of the French aristocracy mattered little while the people were starving. Burke quarreled with Fox over the French Revolution and Fox said that it was lucky for Burke that he took the royal side, because his violence would certainly have got him hanged if he had taken the other.

Burke died 1797 and was buried according to his own wish near his country home at Beaconsfield, although Fox generously proposed in Parliament that he be interred in Westminster Abbey.

In person Burke was about five feet ten inches tall, an expert athlete when young, and entirely free from what he called "that master vice, Sloth." There are good portraits of him by Reynolds, Romney, and Barry. His manners were based on principles that deserve imitation. "Never," he said, "permit yourself to be outdone in courtesy by your inferiors." Censoriousness, in his opinion, is allied to none of the virtues. He had no personal vices; Doctor Parr speaks of his unspotted innocence, his firm integrity; and Bishop O'Beirne said: "If there be an obscure point in the life or conduct of Edmund Burke, the moment the explanation arrives it will be found to redound to his honour." He had no taste for pursuits that kill time. Of his oratory perhaps the most striking characteristic was originality. When

## Edmund Burke

Johnson was asked if Burke resembled Cicero, he replied: "No, Sir. He resembles Edmund Burke." Possibly the best, certainly the most famous, sketch of his character is, however, from the pen of Goldsmith:

Here lies our good Edmund, whose genius was such  
We scarcely can praise it or blame it too much,  
Who, born for the universe, narrowed his mind,  
And to party gave up what was meant for mankind.  
Though fraught with all learning, yet straining his throat  
To persuade Tommy Townshend to lend him a vote;  
Who, too deep for his hearers, still went on refining,  
And thought of convincing while they thought of dining;  
Though equal to all things, for all things unfit;  
Too nice for a statesman, too proud for a wit;  
For a patriot too cool, for a drudge disobedient,  
And too fond of the right to pursue the expedient.  
In short, 'twas his fate, unemployed or in place, Sir,  
To eat mutton cold, and cut blocks with a razor.

# SUGGESTIONS TO TEACHERS

PERHAPS the following method of studying Burke's Speech on Conciliation may prove to be of some assistance to teachers who have not already worked out a scheme of their own.

1. First of all a student should make himself familiar with Burke's life.

2. He should get in mind the main points of the British constitution.

3. He should make himself familiar with the events between 1760 and 1774 that led to the break between America and the mother country.

4. He should read Burke's Speech through from beginning to end rapidly, his object being simply to get a bird's eye view of the whole speech.

5. He should read it again somewhat more carefully for the purpose of analyzing its structure. In doing this, he should bear in mind that its framework is as follows:

I. *Exordium*. Paragraphs 1-8. In this the orator endeavors to obtain the attention and good will of his audience.

II. *Status*. Paragraphs 9-14. Here Burke states what he intends to prove.

III. *Statement of Facts*. Paragraphs 15-46. In these paragraphs Burke describes the situation with which he is to deal, dividing it as follows:

(a) Population of Colonies. Paragraphs 15-16.

(b) Commerce of Colonies. Paragraphs 17-28.

(c) Agriculture of Colonies. Paragraph 29.

(d) Fisheries of Colonies. Paragraph 30.

(e) Force as a means for dealing with such powerful elements. Paragraphs 31-35.

(f) Temper and Character of the Americans. Paragraphs 36-43.

(g) The present state of affairs in America. Paragraphs 44-46.

## Suggestions to Teachers

### IV. *Argument.* Paragraphs 47-118.

(a) Paragraph 47. There are only three ways to deal with the spirit in the Colonies.

1. To change it as inconvenient by removing the causes.
2. To prosecute it as criminal.
3. To comply with it as necessary.

(b) Paragraphs 48-57. To change the spirit by removing the causes is impossible because population in the Colonies cannot be restricted; because it is unwise to impoverish the Colonies; because their temper and character cannot be altered unless we can change their pedigree, alter their religion, interfere with their education, upset their system of slavery, and pump the ocean dry.

(c) Paragraphs 58-63. There is no way to proceed against a nation as criminal because we cannot draw up an indictment against a whole people and we have no tribunal before which they can be tried; moreover, we have tried to treat them as criminals and failed.

(d) Paragraph 64. There is therefore no way open but to comply with the American spirit as necessary.

(e) Paragraphs 65-75. The nature of our concession should be such as to meet the complaint of the Colonies, that they are taxed in a Parliament in which they are not represented.

(f) Paragraphs 76-85. My plan is to apply to the Colonies the principles found in the cases of Ireland, Wales, Chester, and Durham. In each of these cases the granting of representation in Parliament ended troubles similar to those which now distract America.

(g) Paragraphs 86-118. On account of the distance of the Colonies. I propose that, instead of granting them representation in Parliament, we pass six resolutions affirming the power of the Colonial Assemblies to grant money to the British Government, promising that Parliament will not hereafter seek to impose taxes upon America, and repealing all legislation inconsistent therewith.



## Suggestions to Teachers

V. *Refutation*. Paragraphs 119-137. Here Burke answers possible objections to his scheme.

VI. *Peroration*. Paragraphs 138-141. Here Burke sums up in a burst of eloquence seeking to show that it is the spirit of Concord which makes a great empire rather than any system of regulations.

6. If there is time for further study it is recommended that the student read the speech once more, reducing each paragraph to a single sentence. Every well-constructed paragraph contains one idea and only one idea. Burke's paragraphs almost without exception are so constructed and there are few possible exercises in English which are more profitable than this.

7. An additional exercise which is highly profitable if there is time is to read this speech sentence by sentence and word by word, making sure that the pupil understands the meaning of each word, the force of each allusion, and the power of every figure of speech.

8. Before finishing with the study the pupil should reduce the whole speech to one paragraph, which will be constructed as follows:

(a) One sentence embodying the meaning of the Exordium.

(b) One sentence embodying the meaning of the Status.

(c) Seven sentences embodying the meaning of the Statement of Facts.

(d) Eight sentences embodying the meaning of the Argument.

(e) One sentence covering the Refutation.

(f) One sentence stating the substance of the Peroration.

## THE ENGLISH GOVERNMENT

The English Government in its main outlines is the same as that of the United States. The principles underlying both are identical. The executive in the English Government is

## Suggestions to Teachers

represented by the King and in ours by the President. The judicial branch in ours is represented by the Supreme Court; in the English Government by the House of Lords. The legislative branch consists in each government of two houses, the upper and lower. Our upper house is the Senate; the English, the House of Lords. The lower house in our government is the House of Representatives, in the English, the House of Commons.

There are, however, important points of difference. Our President is a real executive with real power; the English King is merely a figurehead, a sort of hereditary grand master of ceremonies, though he retains much personal influence. The real executive power in the British government resides in the Prime Minister, who is always the head of the majority party in the House of Commons. The House of Lords at the present time has no real power. The Cabinet, though it usually contains a few members from the House of Lords, in reality is a committee of the House of Commons chosen from the majority party. The Prime Minister is nominally appointed by the king but is really elected by the influential politicians in his own party. He really chooses the other members of the Cabinet, although they are nominally appointed by the king.

If the Prime Minister loses control of the House of Commons he must do one of two things. He must resign or appeal to the country. If he chooses the latter course there is a new election of members of the House of Commons. In case the new house thus chosen does not contain a majority who will support him he must resign. This arrangement keeps the executive and legislative branches of the government in harmony at all times and renders impossible a situation which often occurs in ours, where it is not uncommon to have a president of one party and a legislature in either or both branches of another. It should be added that no matter what happens, a new Parliament in England must be elected every seven years.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

THE standard Life of Burke is that by Sir J. Prior, which is published in Bohn's Standard Library. Boswell's Johnson, Macaulay's Essays, and Trevelyan's History of the American Revolution contain many appreciative passages; consult the indexes. John Morley's Burke in the English Men of Letters is an excellent short biography. Augustine Birrell's Lecture on Burke in *Obiter Dicta*, Volume II, is the most entertaining account that has been written.



## QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW

1. Why is Burke worthy of our attention? Give four reasons.
2. In what important respect did he differ as a boy from his brothers and sisters?
3. What did he learn at Shackleton's school?
4. Describe the growth of his mind at college.
5. Were his interests narrow or broad? Do not answer by a mere yes or no, but state fully the reasons for your conclusion.
6. Give some account of his friendships.
7. Enumerate the three greatest public questions with which his name is linked.
8. State the fundamental political principle that governed all his conduct.
9. If he were alive to-day, what would be his attitude toward autocracy? Toward socialism?
10. In what book did he first attack anarchy?
11. What literary labor gave him his first insight into American affairs?
12. How did he acquire his grasp on English politics?
13. What was the dominating purpose of George III?
14. Was George III an Englishman?
15. What, in Burke's opinion, was the most prominent characteristic of the American character?
16. How many representatives did he propose that the Colony of New York should send to Parliament?
17. Upon what force, in his judgment, should Great Britain depend in order to keep the Empire intact?
18. Did Burke's Speech on Conciliation produce any immediate result? Has it produced any ultimate results? If so, what?
19. Describe the services rendered by Burke to the people of India.
20. Explain wherein Burke was right and wherein he was wrong in his views of the French Revolution.

## Questions for Review

21. Was his attitude toward the French Revolution inconsistent with his attitude toward the American Revolution?
22. Why was he never Prime Minister?
23. Would it be a good thing if Members of Congress, like Members of Parliament, were allowed to represent districts in which they do not reside?
24. Is the English system of requiring the legislature to be in harmony with the executive better than ours?
25. Why are Burke's teachings important to-day?

# SPEECH ON CONCILIATION WITH AMERICA

70 18

I. I HOPE, Sir,<sup>1</sup> that, notwithstanding the austerity<sup>2</sup> of the Chair, your good nature will incline you to some degree of indulgence towards human frailty.<sup>3</sup> You will not think it unnatural that those who have an object depending<sup>4</sup> which strongly engages their hopes and fears, should be somewhat inclined to superstition. As I came into the House full of anxiety about the event<sup>5</sup> of my motion, I found, to my infinite surprise, that the grand penal bill,<sup>6</sup> by which we had passed sentence on the trade and sustenance of America, is to be returned to us from the other House.<sup>7</sup> I do confess I could not help looking on this event as a fortunate omen. I look upon it as a sort of providential favor, by which we are put once more in possession of our deliberative capacity upon a business so very questionable in its nature, so very uncertain in its issue. By the return of this bill, which seemed to have taken its flight forever, we are at this very instant nearly as free to choose a plan for our American Government as we were on the first day of the session. If, Sir, we incline to the side of conciliation, we are not at all embarrassed (unless we please to make ourselves so) by any incongruous mixture of coercion and restraint.<sup>8</sup> We are therefore called upon, as it were by a superior warning voice, again to attend to America; to attend to the whole of it together; and to review the subject with an unusual degree of care and calmness.

2. Surely it is an awful<sup>9</sup> subject, or there is none so on this side of the grave. When I first had the honor of a seat in this House,<sup>10</sup> the affairs of that continent pressed

## Speech on Conciliation with America

themselves upon us at the most important and most delicate object of Parliamentary attention. My little share in this great deliberation oppressed me. I found myself a partaker in a very high trust; and, having no sort of reason to rely on the strength of my natural abilities for the proper execution of that trust, I was obliged to take more than common pains to instruct myself in everything which relates to our Colonies.<sup>11</sup> I was not less under the necessity of forming some fixed ideas concerning the general policy of the British Empire. Something of this sort seemed to be indispensable, in order, amidst so vast a fluctuation<sup>12</sup> of passions and opinions, to center my thoughts, to ballast my conduct, to preserve me from being blown about by every wind of fashionable doctrine. I really did not think it safe or manly to have fresh principles to seek upon every fresh mail which should arrive from America.

3. At that period I had the fortune to find myself in perfect concurrence with a large majority in this House. Bowing under that high authority, and penetrated with the sharpness and strength of that early impression, I have continued ever since, without the least deviation, in my original sentiments. Whether this be owing to an obstinate perseverance in error, or to a religious adherence to what appears to me truth and reason, it is in your equity to judge.<sup>13</sup>

4. Sir, Parliament having an enlarged view of objects, made, during this interval, more frequent changes in their sentiments and their conduct than could be justified in a particular person upon the contracted scale of private information.<sup>14</sup> But though I do not hazard anything approaching to a censure on the motives of former Parliaments to all those alterations, one fact is undoubted—that under them the state of America has been kept in continual agitation. Everything administered as remedy to the public complaint, if it did not produce, was at least followed by, an heightening of the distemper; <sup>15</sup> until, by a variety of experiments, that important country has been brought into her present situation



## Speech on Conciliation with America

—a situation which I will not miscall, which I dare not name, which I scarcely know how to comprehend in the terms of any description.<sup>16</sup>

5. In this posture, Sir, things stood at the beginning of the session. About that time, a worthy member<sup>17</sup> of great Parliamentary experience, who, in the year 1766, filled the chair of the American committee with much ability, took me aside; and, lamenting the present aspect of our politics, told me things were come to such a pass that our<sup>18</sup> former methods of proceeding in the House would be no longer tolerated: that the public tribunal (never too indulgent to a long and unsuccessful opposition<sup>19</sup>) would now scrutinize our conduct with unusual severity: that the very vicissitudes and shiftings of Ministerial measures,<sup>20</sup> instead of convicting their authors of inconstancy and want of system, would be taken as an occasion of charging us with a predetermined discontent, which nothing could satisfy; whilst we accused every measure of vigor as cruel, and every proposal of lenity as weak and irresolute.<sup>21</sup> The public, he said, would not have patience to see us play the game out with our adversaries; we must produce our hand.<sup>22</sup> It would be expected that those who for many years had been active in such affairs should show that they had formed some clear and decided idea of the principles of Colony government; and were capable of drawing out something like a platform of the ground which might be laid for future and permanent tranquility.

6. I felt the truth of what my honorable friend represented; but I felt my situation, too. His application might have been made with far greater propriety to many other gentlemen. No man was indeed ever better disposed, or worse qualified, for such an undertaking than myself. Though I gave so far in to his opinion that I immediately threw my thoughts into a sort of Parliamentary form,<sup>23</sup> I was by no means equally ready to produce them. It generally argues<sup>24</sup> some degree of natural impotence of mind, or some want of

## Speech on Conciliation with America

knowledge of the world, to hazard plans of government except from a seat of authority.<sup>25</sup> Propositions are made, not only ineffectually, but somewhat disreputably,<sup>26</sup> when the minds of men are not properly disposed for their reception; and, for my part, I am not ambitious of ridicule—not absolutely a candidate for disgrace.

7. Besides, Sir, to speak the plain truth, I have in general no very exalted opinion of the virtue of paper government;<sup>27</sup> nor of any politics in which the plan is to be wholly separated from the execution. But when I saw that anger and violence prevailed every day more and more, and that things were hastening towards an incurable alienation of our Colonies, I confess my caution gave way. I felt this as one of those few moments in which decorum yields to a higher duty. Public calamity is a mighty leveller; and there are occasions when any, even the slightest, chance of doing good must be laid hold on, even by the most inconsiderable person.<sup>28</sup>

8. To restore order and repose to an empire so great and so distracted as ours, is, merely in the attempt, an undertaking that would ennoble the flights of the highest genius, and obtain pardon for the efforts of the meanest understanding.<sup>29</sup> Struggling a good while with these thoughts, by degrees I felt myself more firm. I derived, at length, some confidence from what in other circumstances usually produces timidity.<sup>30</sup> I grew less anxious, even from the idea of my own insignificance. For, judging of what you are by what you ought to be, I persuaded myself that you would not reject a reasonable proposition because it had nothing but its reason to recommend it. On the other hand, being totally destitute of all shadow of influence,<sup>31</sup> natural or adventitious, I was very sure that, if my proposition were futile or dangerous—if it were weakly conceived, or improperly timed—there was nothing exterior to it of power to awe, dazzle, or delude you. You will see it just as it is; and you will treat it just as it deserves.

9. The proposition is peace. Not peace through the me-

## Speech on Conciliation with America

dium of war; not peace to be hunted through the labyrinth of intricate and endless negotiations; not peace to arise out of universal discord fomented,<sup>32</sup> from principle, in all parts of the Empire; not peace to depend on the juridical<sup>33</sup> determination of perplexing questions, or the precise marking the shadowy boundaries of a complex government.<sup>34</sup> It is simple peace; sought in its natural course, and in its ordinary haunts. It is peace sought in the spirit of peace, and laid in principles purely pacific.<sup>35</sup> I propose, by removing the ground of the difference, and by restoring the former unsuspecting confidence of the Colonies in the Mother Country, to give permanent satisfaction to your people; and (far from a scheme of ruling by discord) to reconcile them to each other in the same act, and by the bond of the very same interest which reconciles them to British government.

10. My idea is nothing more. Refined<sup>36</sup> policy ever has been the parent of confusion; and ever will be so, as long as the world endures. Plain good intention, which is as easily discovered at the first view as fraud is surely detected at last, is, let me say, of no mean force in the government of mankind. Genuine simplicity of heart is an healing and cementing principle. My plan, therefore, being formed upon the most simple grounds imaginable, may disappoint some people when they hear it. It has nothing to recommend it to the pruriency of curious ears. There is nothing at all new and captivating in it. It has nothing of the splendor of the project which has been lately laid upon your table by the noble lord in the blue ribbon.<sup>37</sup> It does not propose to fill your lobby with squabbling Colony agents, who will require the interposition of your mace, at every instant, to keep the peace amongst them. It does not institute a magnificent auction of finance, where captivated provinces come to general ransom by bidding against each other, until you knock down the hammer, and determine a proportion of payments beyond all the powers of algebra to equalize and settle.<sup>38</sup>

11. The plan which I shall presume to suggest derives,

## Speech on Conciliation with America

however, one great advantage from the proposition and registry of that noble lord's project. The idea of conciliation is admissible. First, the House, in accepting the resolution moved by the noble lord, has admitted, notwithstanding the menacing front of our address, notwithstanding our heavy bills of pains and penalties—that we do not think ourselves precluded from all ideas of free grace and bounty.<sup>39</sup>

12. The House has gone further; it has declared conciliation admissible, previous to any submission on the part of America. It has even shot a good deal beyond that mark, and has admitted that the complaints of our former mode of exerting the right of taxation were not wholly unfounded. That right thus exerted is allowed to have something reprehensible in it, something unwise, or something grievous; since, in the midst of our heat and resentment, we, of ourselves, have proposed a capital<sup>40</sup> alteration; and in order to get rid of what seemed so very exceptionable,<sup>41</sup> have instituted a mode that is altogether new; one that is, indeed, wholly alien from all the ancient methods and forms of Parliament.

13. The principle of this proceeding is large enough for my purpose. The means proposed by the noble lord for carrying his ideas into execution, I think, indeed, are very indifferently suited to the end; and this I shall endeavor to show you before I sit down. But, for the present, I take my ground on the admitted principle. I mean to give peace. Peace implies reconciliation; and where there has been a material dispute, reconciliation does in a manner always imply concession on the one part or on the other. In this state of things I make no difficulty<sup>42</sup> in affirming that the proposal ought to originate from us. Great and acknowledged force is not impaired, either in effect or in opinion, by an unwillingness to exert itself. The superior power may offer peace with honor and with safety. Such an offer from such a power will be attributed to magnanimity. But the concessions of the weak are the concessions of fear. When such a one is disarmed, he is wholly at the mercy of his superior;

## Speech on Conciliation with America

and he loses forever that time and those chances, which, as they happen to all men, are the strength and resources of all inferior power.

14. The capital leading questions on which you must this day decide are these two: First, whether you ought to concede; and secondly, what your concession ought to be. On the first of these questions we have gained, as I have just taken the liberty of observing to you, some ground. But I am sensible that a good deal more is still to be done. Indeed, Sir, to enable us to determine both on the one and the other of these great questions with a firm and precise judgment, I think it may be necessary to consider distinctly the true nature and the peculiar circumstances of the object which we have before us; because, after all our struggle, whether we will or not, we must govern America according to that nature and to those circumstances, and not according to our own imaginations, nor according to abstract ideas of right—by no means according to mere general theories of government, the resort to which appears to me, in our present situation, no better than arrant trifling. I shall therefore endeavor, with your leave, to lay before you some of the most material of these circumstances in as full and as clear a manner as I am able to state them.

15. The first thing that we have to consider with regard to the nature of the object is the number of people in the Colonies. I have taken for some years a good deal of pains on that point. I can by no calculation justify myself in placing the number below two millions of inhabitants of our own European blood and color, besides at least five hundred thousand others,<sup>43</sup> who form no inconsiderable part of the strength and opulence of the whole. This, Sir, is, I believe, about the true number. There is no occasion to exaggerate where plain truth is of so much weight and importance. But whether I put the present numbers too high or too low is a matter of little moment. Such is the strength with which population shoots in that part of the world, that,

## Speech on Conciliation with America

state the numbers as high as we will, whilst the dispute continues, the exaggeration ends.<sup>44</sup> Whilst we are discussing any given magnitude, they are grown to it. Whilst we spend our time in deliberating on the mode of governing two millions, we shall find we have millions more to manage. Your children do not grow faster from infancy to manhood than they spread from families to communities, and from villages to nations.

16. I put this consideration of the present and the growing numbers in the front of our deliberation, because, Sir, this consideration will make it evident to a blunter discernment than yours, that no partial, narrow, contracted, pinched, occasional system will be at all suitable to such an object.<sup>45</sup> It will show you that it is not to be considered as one of those *minima*<sup>46</sup> which are out of the eye and consideration of the law; not a paltry excrescence of the state; not a mean dependent, who may be neglected with little damage and provoked with little danger. It will prove that some degree of care and caution is required in the handling such an object; it will show that you ought not, in reason, to trifle with so large a mass of the interests and feelings of the human race. You could at no time do so without guilt; and be assured you will not be able to do it long with impunity.<sup>47</sup>

17. But the population of this country, the great and growing population, though a very important consideration, will lose much of its weight if not combined with other circumstances. The commerce of your Colonies is out of all proportion beyond the numbers of the people. This ground of their commerce indeed has been trod some days ago, and with great ability, by a distinguished person at your bar.<sup>48</sup> This gentleman, after thirty-five years—it is so long since he first appeared at the same place to plead for the commerce of Great Britain—has come again before you to plead the same cause, without any other effect of time, than that to the fire of imagination and extent of erudition<sup>49</sup> which even then marked him as one of the first literary characters of his age, he has added

## Speech on Conciliation with America

a consummate knowledge in the commercial interest of his country, formed by a long course of enlightened and discriminating experience.

18. Sir, I should be inexcusable in coming after such a person with any detail, if a great part of the members who now fill the House had not the misfortune to be absent when he appeared at your bar. Besides, Sir, I propose to take the matter at periods of time somewhat different from his. There is, if I mistake not, a point of view from whence, if you will look at the subject, it is impossible that it should not make an impression upon you.

19. I have in my hands two accounts: one a comparative state<sup>50</sup> of the export trade of England to its Colonies, as it stood in the year 1704, and as it stood in the year 1772; the other a state of the export trade of this country to its Colonies alone, as it stood in 1772, compared with the whole trade of England to all parts of the world (the Colonies included) in the year 1704. They are from good vouchers; the latter period from the accounts on your table, the earlier from an original manuscript of Davenant, who first established the Inspector General's office, which has been ever since his time so abundant a source of Parliamentary information.

20. The export trade to the Colonies consists of three great branches: the African—which, terminating almost wholly in the Colonies, must be put to the account of their commerce,—the West Indian, and the North American. All these are so interwoven that the attempt to separate them would tear to pieces the contexture of the whole; and, if not entirely destroy, would very much depreciate the value of all the parts. I therefore consider these three denominations to be, what in effect they are, one trade.

21. The trade to the Colonies, taken on the export side, at the beginning of this century, that is, in the year 1704, stood thus:—

## Speech on Conciliation with America

Exports to North America and the West Indies.	£483,265
To Africa .....	86,665
	<hr/>
	£569,930

22. In the year 1772, which I take as a middle year between the highest and lowest of those lately laid on your table, the account was as follows:—

To North America and the West Indies .....	£4,791,734
To Africa .....	866,398
To which, if you add the export trade from Scotland, which had in 1704 no existence..	364,000
	<hr/>
	£6,022,132

23. From five hundred and odd thousand, it has grown to six millions. It has increased no less than twelve-fold. This is the state of the Colony trade as compared with itself at these two periods within this century;—and this is matter for meditation. But this is not all. Examine my second account. See how the export trade to the Colonies alone in 1772 stood in the other point of view; that is as compared to the whole trade of England in 1704;—

The whole export trade of England, including that to the Colonies, in 1704 .....	£6,509,000
Export to the Colonies alone, in 1772 .....	6,024,000
	<hr/>
	Difference, £485,000

24. The trade with America alone is now within less than £500,000 of being equal to what this great commercial nation, England, carried on at the beginning of this century with the whole world! If I had taken the largest year of those on your table, it would rather have exceeded. But, it will be said, is not this American trade an unnatural protuberance, that has drawn the juices from the rest of the body? The reverse. It is the very food that has nourished every other part into its present magnitude. Our general



## Speech on Conciliation with America

trade has been greatly augmented, and augmented more or less in almost every part to which it ever extended; but with this material difference, that of the six millions which in the beginning of the century constituted the whole mass of our export commerce, the Colony trade was but one-twelfth part; it is now (as a part of sixteen millions) considerably more than a third of the whole. This is the relative proportion of the importance of the Colonies at these two periods; and all reasoning concerning our mode of treating them must have this proportion as its basis; or it is a reasoning weak, rotten, and sophistical.<sup>51</sup>

25. Mr. Speaker, I cannot prevail on myself to hurry over this great consideration. *It is good for us to be here.* We stand where we have an immense view of what is, and what is past. Clouds, indeed, and darkness, rest upon the future.<sup>52</sup> Let us, however, before we descend from this noble eminence, reflect that this growth of our national prosperity has happened within the short period of the life of man. It has happened within sixty-eight years. There are those alive whose memory might touch the two extremities. For instance, my Lord Bathurst might remember all the stages of the progress. He was in 1704 of an age at least to be made to comprehend such things. He was then old enough *acta parentum jam legere, et quæ sit potuit cognoscere virtus.*<sup>53</sup> Suppose, Sir, that the angel of this auspicious<sup>54</sup> youth, foreseeing the many virtues which made him one of the most amiable, as he is one of the most fortunate, men of his age, had opened to him in vision that when in the fourth generation<sup>55</sup> the third Prince of the House of Brunswick had sat twelve years on the throne of that nation which, by the happy issue of moderate and healing counsels, was to be made Great Britain, he should see his son, Lord Chancellor of England, turn back the current of hereditary dignity to its fountain,<sup>56</sup> and raise him to a higher rank of peerage, whilst he enriched the family with a new one—if, amidst these bright and happy scenes of domestic honor and pros-

## Speech on Conciliation with America

perity, that angel should have drawn up the curtain, and unfolded the rising glories of his country, and, whilst he was gazing with admiration on the then commercial grandeur of England, the genius should point out to him a little speck, scarcely visible in the mass of the national interest, a small seminal principle, rather than a formed body, and should tell him: "Young man, there is America—which at this day serves for little more than to amuse you with stories of savage men, and uncouth manners; yet shall, before you taste of death, show itself equal to the whole of that commerce which now attracts the envy of the world. Whatever England has been growing to by a progressive increase of improvement, brought in by varieties of people, by succession of civilizing conquests and civilizing settlements in a series of seventeen hundred years, you shall see as much added to her by America in the course of a single life!"<sup>57</sup> If this state of his country had been foretold to him, would it not require all the sanguine<sup>58</sup> credulity of youth, and all the fervid glow of enthusiasm, to make him believe it? Fortunate man, he has lived to see it! Fortunate, indeed, if he lives to see nothing that shall vary the prospect, and cloud the setting of his day!<sup>59</sup>

26. Excuse me, Sir, if turning from such thoughts I resume this comparative view once more. You have seen it on a large scale; look at it on a small one. I will point out to your attention a particular instance of it in the single province of Pennsylvania. In the year 1704 that province called for £11,459 in value of your commodities, native and foreign. This was the whole. What did it demand in 1772? Why, nearly fifty times as much; for in that year the export to Pennsylvania was £507,909, nearly equal to the export to all the Colonies together in the first period.

27. I choose, Sir, to enter into these minute and particular details, because generalities, which in all other cases are apt to heighten and raise the subject, have here a tendency to sink it. When we speak of the commerce with our

## Speech on Conciliation with America

Colonies, fiction lags after truth, invention is unfruitful, and imagination cold and barren.

28. So far, Sir, as to the importance of the object, in view of its commerce, as concerned in the exports from England. If I were to detail the imports, I could show how many enjoyments they procure which deceive the burthen of life; how many materials which invigorate the springs of national industry, and extend and animate every part of our foreign and domestic commerce. This would be a curious<sup>60</sup> subject indeed; but I must prescribe bounds to myself in a matter so vast and various.

29. I pass, therefore, to the Colonies in another point of view, their agriculture. This they have prosecuted with such a spirit, that, besides feeding plentifully their own growing multitude, their annual export of grain, comprehending rice, has some years ago exceeded a million in value. Of their last harvest I am persuaded they will export much more. At the beginning of the century some of these Colonies imported corn from the Mother Country. For some time past the Old World has been fed from the New. The scarcity which you have felt would have been a desolating famine, if this child of your old age, with a true filial piety, with a Roman charity, had not put the full breast of its youthful exuberance to the mouth of its exhausted parent.<sup>61</sup>

30. As to the wealth which the Colonies have drawn from the sea by their fisheries, you had all that matter fully opened at your bar. You surely thought those acquisitions of value, for they seemed even to excite your envy; and yet the spirit by which that enterprising employment has been exercised ought rather, in my opinion, to have raised your esteem and admiration. And pray, Sir, what in the world is equal to it? Pass by the other parts, and look at the manner in which the people of New England have of late carried on the whale fishery. Whilst we follow them among the tumbling mountains of ice, and behold them penetrating into the deepest frozen recesses of Hudson's Bay and Davis's

## Speech on Conciliation with America

Straits, whilst we are looking for them beneath the arctic circle, we hear that they have pierced into the opposite region of polar cold, that they are at the antipodes,<sup>62</sup> and engaged under the frozen Serpent of the south. Falkland Island,<sup>63</sup> which seemed too remote and romantic an object for the grasp of national ambition, is but a stage and resting-place in the progress of their victorious industry. Nor is the equinoctial heat more discouraging to them than the accumulated winter of both the poles.<sup>64</sup> We know that whilst some of them draw the line and strike the harpoon on the coast of Africa, others run the longitude and pursue their gigantic game along the coast of Brazil.<sup>65</sup> No sea but what is vexed by their fisheries; no climate that is not witness to their toils. Neither the perseverance of Holland, nor the activity of France, nor the dexterous and firm sagacity of English enterprise ever carried this most perilous mode of hardy industry to the extent to which it has been pushed by this recent people; a people who are still, as it were, but in the gristle, and not yet hardened into the bone of manhood. When I contemplate these things; when I know that the Colonies in general owe little or nothing to any care of ours, and that they are not squeezed into this happy form by the constraints of watchful and suspicious government, but that, through a wise and salutary neglect, a generous nature has been suffered to take her own way to perfection; when I reflect upon these effects, when I see how profitable they have been to us, I feel all the pride of power sink, and all presumption in the wisdom of human contrivances melt and die away within me. My rigor relents. I pardon something to the spirit of liberty.<sup>66</sup>

31. I am sensible, Sir, that all which I have asserted in my detail is admitted in the gross; <sup>67</sup> but that quite a different conclusion is drawn from it. America, gentlemen <sup>68</sup> say, is a noble object. It is an object well worth fighting for. Certainly it is, if fighting a people be the best way of gaining them. Gentlemen in this respect will be led to their choice

## Speech on Conciliation with America

of means by their complexions<sup>69</sup> and their habits. Those who understand the military art<sup>70</sup> will of course have some predilection for it. Those who wield the thunder of the state may have more confidence in the efficacy of arms. But I confess, possibly for want of this knowledge, my opinion is much more in favor of prudent management than of force; considering force not as an odious, but a feeble instrument for preserving a people so numerous, so active, so growing, so spirited as this, in a profitable and subordinate connection with us.

33. First, Sir, permit me to observe that the use of force alone is but temporary. It may subdue for a moment, but it does not remove the necessity of subduing again; and a nation is not governed which is perpetually to be conquered.

34. My next objection is its uncertainty. Terror is not always the effect of force, and an armament is not a victory. If you do not succeed, you are without resource; for, conciliation failing, force remains; but, force failing, no further hope of reconciliation is left. Power and authority are sometimes bought by kindness; but they can never be begged as alms by an impoverished and defeated violence.

35. A further objection to force is, that you impair the object by your very endeavors to preserve it. The thing you fought for is not the thing which you recover; but depreciated, sunk, wasted, and consumed in the contest. Nothing less will content me than *whole America*. I do not choose to consume its strength along with our own, because in all parts it is the British strength that I consume. I do not choose to be caught by a foreign enemy at the end of this exhausting conflict; and still less in the midst of it. I may escape; but I can make no insurance against such an event. Let me add, that I do not choose wholly to break the American spirit; because it is the spirit that has made the country.

35. Lastly, we have no sort of experience in favor of force as an instrument in the rule of our Colonies. Their growth and their utility has been owing to methods altogether dif-

## Speech on Conciliation with America

ferent. Our ancient indulgence has been said to be pursued to a fault. It may be so. But we know, if feeling is evidence, that our fault was more tolerable than our attempt to mend it; and our sin far more salutary than our penitence.<sup>71</sup>

36. These, Sir, are my reasons for not entertaining that high opinion of untried force by which many gentlemen, for whose sentiments in other particulars I have great respect, seem to be so greatly captivated. But there is still behind a third<sup>72</sup> consideration concerning this object which serves to determine my opinion on the sort of policy which ought to be pursued in the management of America, even more than its population and its commerce—I mean its temper and character.

37. In this character of the Americans, a love of freedom<sup>73</sup> is the predominating feature which marks and distinguishes the whole; and as an ardent is always a jealous affection, your Colonies become suspicious, restive,<sup>74</sup> and untractable whenever they see the least attempt to wrest from them by force, or shuffle from them by chicane,<sup>75</sup> what they think the only advantage worth living for. This fierce spirit of liberty is stronger in the English Colonies probably than in any other people of the earth, and this from a great variety of powerful causes; which, to understand the true temper of their minds and the direction which this spirit takes, it will not be amiss to lay open somewhat more largely.

38. First, the people of the Colonies are descendants of Englishmen. England, Sir, is a nation which still, I hope, respects, and formerly adored, her freedom. The Colonists emigrated from you when<sup>76</sup> this part of your character was most predominant; and they took this bias and direction the moment they parted from your hands. They are therefore not only devoted to liberty, but to liberty according to English ideas, and on English principles. Abstract liberty, like other mere abstractions, is not to be found. Liberty inheres in some sensible object;<sup>77</sup> and every nation has formed to itself some favorite point, which by way of em

## Speech on Conciliation with America

nence becomes the criterion of their happiness. It happened, you know, Sir, that the great contests for freedom in this country were from the earliest times chiefly upon the question of taxing. Most of the contests in the ancient commonwealths turned primarily on the right of election of magistrates; or on the balance among the several orders of the state. The question of money was not with them so immediate. But in England it was otherwise. On this point of taxes the ablest pens, and most eloquent tongues, have been exercised; the greatest spirits have acted and suffered. In order to give the fullest satisfaction concerning the importance of this point, it was not only necessary for those who in argument defended the excellence of the English Constitution to insist on this privilege of granting money as a dry point of fact, and to prove that the right had been acknowledged in ancient parchments and blind usages<sup>78</sup> to reside in a certain body called a House of Commons. They went much farther; they attempted to prove, and they succeeded, that in theory it ought to be so, from the particular nature of a House of Commons as an immediate representative of the people, whether the old records had delivered this oracle or not. They took infinite pains to inculcate, as a fundamental principle, that in all monarchies the people must in effect themselves, mediately<sup>79</sup> or immediately, possess the power of granting their own money, or no shadow of liberty can subsist.<sup>80</sup> The Colonies draw from you, as with their life-blood, these ideas and principles. Their love of liberty, as with you, fixed and attached on this specific point of taxing. Liberty might be safe, or might be endangered, in twenty other particulars, without their being much pleased or alarmed. Here they felt its pulse; and, as they found that beat, they thought themselves sick or sound. I do not say whether they were right or wrong in applying your general arguments to their own case. It is not easy, indeed, to make a monopoly of theorems and corollaries.<sup>81</sup> The fact is, that they did thus apply those general arguments;

## Speech on Conciliation with America

and your mode of governing them, whether through lenity or indolence, through wisdom or mistake, confirmed them in the imagination that they, as well as you, had an interest in these common principles.

39. They were further confirmed in this pleasing error by the form of their provincial legislative assemblies. Their governments are popular in an high degree; some are merely popular;<sup>82</sup> in all, the popular representative is the most weighty; and this share of the people in their ordinary government never fails to inspire them with lofty sentiments, and with a strong aversion from whatever tends to deprive them of their chief importance.

40. If anything were wanting to this necessary operation of the form of government, religion would have given it a complete effect. Religion, always a principle of energy, in this new people is no way worn out or impaired; and their mode of professing it is also one main cause of this free spirit. The people are Protestants; and of that kind which is the most adverse to all implicit<sup>83</sup> submission of mind and opinion. This is a persuasion not only favorable to liberty, but built upon it. I do not think, Sir, that the reason of this averseness in the dissenting churches from all that looks like absolute government is so much to be sought in their religious tenets,<sup>84</sup> as in their history. Every one knows that the Roman Catholic religion is at least coeval<sup>85</sup> with most of the governments where it prevails; that it has generally gone hand in hand with them, and received great favor and every kind of support from authority. The Church of England, too, was formed from her cradle under the nursing care of regular government. But the dissenting interests have sprung up in direct opposition to all the ordinary powers of the world, and could justify that opposition only on a strong claim to natural liberty. Their very existence depended on the powerful and unremitted assertion of that claim. All Protestantism, even the most cold and passive, is a sort of dissent.<sup>86</sup> But the religion most prevalent in our Northern



## Speech on Conciliation with America

Colonies is a refinement on the principle of resistance; it is the dissidence of dissent, and the protestantism of the Protestant religion.<sup>87</sup> This religion, under a variety of denominations agreeing in nothing but in the communion of the spirit of liberty, is predominant in most of the Northern Provinces, where the Church of England, notwithstanding its legal rights, is in reality no more than a sort of private sect, not composing most probably the tenth of the people. The Colonists left England when this spirit was high, and in the emigrants was the highest of all; and even that stream of foreigners which has been constantly flowing into these Colonies has, for the greatest part, been composed of dissenters from the establishments<sup>88</sup> of their several countries, who have brought with them a temper and character far from alien to that of the people with whom they mixed.

41. Sir, I can perceive by their manner that some gentlemen object to the latitude<sup>89</sup> of this description, because in the Southern Colonies the Church of England forms a large body, and has a regular establishment. It is certainly true. There is, however, a circumstance attending these Colonies which, in my opinion, fully counterbalances this difference, and makes the spirit of liberty still more high and haughty than in those to the northward. It is that in Virginia and the Carolinas they have a vast multitude of slaves. Where this is the case in any part of the world, those who are free are by far the most proud and jealous of their freedom. Freedom is to them not only an enjoyment, but a kind of rank and privilege. Not seeing there, that freedom, as in countries where it is a common blessing and as broad and general as the air, may be united with much abject toil, with great misery, with all the exterior of servitude, liberty looks, amongst them, like something that is more noble and liberal. I do not mean, Sir, to commend the superior morality of this sentiment, which has at least as much pride as virtue in it; but I cannot alter the nature of man. The fact is so; and these people of the Southern Colonies are much more

## Speech on Conciliation with America

strongly, and with an higher and more stubborn spirit, attached to liberty than those to the northward. Such were all the ancient commonwealths; such were our Gothic ancestors; such in our days were the Poles; and such will be all masters of slaves, who are not slaves themselves. In such a people the haughtiness of domination combines with the spirit of freedom, fortifies it, and renders it invincible.<sup>90</sup>

48. Permit me, Sir, to add another circumstance in our Colonies which contributes no mean part towards the growth and effect of this untractable spirit. I mean their education. In no country perhaps in the world is the law so general a study. The profession itself is numerous and powerful; and in most provinces it takes the lead. The greater number of the deputies sent to the Congress were lawyers. But all who read, and most do read, endeavor to obtain some smattering in that science. I have been told by an eminent bookseller, that in no branch of his business, after tracts of popular devotion, were so many books as those on the law exported to the Plantations.<sup>91</sup> The Colonists have now fallen into the way of printing them for their own use. I hear that they have sold nearly as many of Blackstone's Commentaries<sup>92</sup> in America as in England. General Gage<sup>93</sup> marks out this disposition very particularly in a letter on your table. He states that all the people in his government are lawyers, or smatterers in law; and that in Boston they have been enabled, by successful chicane, wholly to evade many parts of one of your capital penal constitutions.<sup>94</sup> The smartness of debate will say that this knowledge ought to teach them more clearly the rights of legislature, their obligations to obedience, and the penalties of rebellion. All this is mighty well. But my honorable and learned friend<sup>95</sup> on the floor, who condescends to mark what I say for animadversion,<sup>96</sup> will disdain that ground. He has heard, as well as I, that when great honors and great emoluments<sup>97</sup> do not win over this knowledge to the service of the state, it is a formidable<sup>98</sup> adversary to government. If the spirit be not tamed and

## Speech on Conciliation with America

broken by these happy<sup>99</sup> methods, it is stubborn and litigious.<sup>100</sup> *Abeunt studia in mores.*<sup>101</sup> This study renders men acute, inquisitive, dexterous, prompt in attack, ready in defense, full of resources. In other countries, the people, more simple, and of a less mercurial<sup>102</sup> cast, judge of an ill principle in government only by an actual grievance; here they anticipate the evil, and judge of the pressure of the grievance by the badness of the principle. They augur misgovernment at a distance, and snuff the approach of tyranny in every tainted breeze.<sup>103</sup>

44 The last cause of this disobedient spirit in the Colonies is hardly less powerful than the rest, as it is not merely moral, but laid deep in the natural constitution of things. Three thousand miles of ocean lie between you and them. No contrivance can prevent the effect of this distance in weakening government.<sup>104</sup> Seas roll, and months pass, between the order and the execution; and the want of a speedy explanation of a single point is enough to defeat a whole system. You have, indeed, winged ministers of vengeance, who carry your bolts in their pounces<sup>105</sup> to the remotest verge of the sea. But there a power steps in that limits the arrogance of raging passions and furious elements, and says, *So far shalt thou go, and no farther.* Who are you, that you should fret and rage, and bite the chains of nature? Nothing worse happens to you than does to all nations who have extensive empire; and it happens in all the forms into which empire can be thrown. In large bodies the circulation<sup>106</sup> of power must be less vigorous at the extremities. Nature has said it. The Turk cannot govern Egypt and Arabia and Kurdistan as he governs Thrace; nor has he the same dominion in Crimea and Algiers which he has at Brusa and Smyrna.<sup>107</sup> Despotism itself is obliged to truck and huckster.<sup>108</sup> The Sultan gets such obedience as he can. He governs with a loose rein, that he may govern at all; and the whole of the force and vigor of his authority in his center is derived from a prudent relaxation in all his borders. Spain,

## Speech on Conciliation with America

in her provinces, is, perhaps, not so well obeyed as you are in yours. She complies, too; she submits; she watches times.<sup>109</sup> This is the immutable condition, the eternal law, of extensive and detached empire.

45. Then, Sir, from these six capital sources—of descent, of form of government, of religion in the Northern Provinces, of manners in the Southern, of education, of the remoteness of situation from the first mover of government—from all these causes a fierce spirit of liberty has grown up. It has grown with the growth of the people in your Colonies, and increased with the increase of their wealth; a spirit that unhappily meeting with an exercise of power in England which, however lawful, is not reconcilable to any ideas of liberty, much less with theirs, has kindled this flame that is ready to consume us.<sup>110</sup>

46. I do not mean to commend either the spirit in this excess, or the moral causes which produce it. Perhaps a more smooth and accommodating spirit of freedom in them would be more acceptable to us. Perhaps ideas of liberty might be desired more reconcilable with an arbitrary and boundless authority. Perhaps we might wish the Colonists to be persuaded that their liberty is more secure when held in trust for them by us, as their guardians during a perpetual minority,<sup>111</sup> than with any part of it in their own hands. The question is, not whether their spirit deserves praise or blame, but—what, in the name of God, shall we do with it? You have before you the object, such as it is, with all its glories, with all its imperfections on its head. You see the magnitude, the importance, the temper, the habits, the disorders. By all these considerations we are strongly urged to determine something concerning it. We are called upon to fix some rule and line for our future conduct which may give a little stability to our politics, and prevent the return of such unhappy deliberations as the present. Every such return will bring the matter before us in a still more untractable<sup>112</sup> form. For what astonishing and incredible things

## Speech on Conciliation with America

have we not seen already! What monsters have not been generated from this unnatural contention! Whilst every principle of authority and resistance has been pushed, upon both sides, as far as it would go, there is nothing so solid and certain, either in reasoning or in practice, that has not been shaken. Until very lately all authority in America seemed to be nothing but an emanation<sup>113</sup> from yours. Even the popular part of the Colony Constitution derived all its activity and its first vital movement from the pleasure of the Crown.<sup>114</sup> We thought, Sir, that the utmost which the discontented Colonists could do was to disturb authority; we never dreamt they could of themselves supply it—knowing in general what an operose<sup>115</sup> business it is to establish a government absolutely new. But having, for our purposes in this contention, resolved that none but an obedient Assembly should sit, the humors<sup>116</sup> of the people there, finding all passage through the legal channel<sup>117</sup> stopped, with great violence broke out another way. Some provinces have tried their experiment, as we have tried ours; and theirs has succeeded. They have formed a government sufficient for its purposes, without the bustle of a revolution or the troublesome formality of an election. Evident necessity and tacit consent have done the business in an instant.<sup>118</sup> So well they have done it, that Lord Dunmore—the account is among the fragments on your table—tells you that the new institution is infinitely better obeyed than the ancient government ever was in its most fortunate periods. Obedience is what makes government, and not the names by which it is called; not the name of Governor, as formerly, or Committee as at present. This new government has originated directly from the people, and was not transmitted through any of the ordinary artificial media of a positive constitution. It was not a manufacture ready formed, and transmitted to them in that condition from England. The evil arising from hence is this: that the Colonists having once found the possibility of enjoying the advantages of order in the midst of a struggle

## Speech on Conciliation with America

for liberty, such struggles will not henceforward seem so terrible to the settled and sober part of mankind as they had appeared before the trial.

46. Pursuing the same plan of punishing by the denial of the exercise of government to still greater lengths, we wholly abrogated the ancient government of Massachusetts.<sup>119</sup> We were confident that the first feeling, if not the very prospect, of anarchy<sup>120</sup> would instantly enforce a complete submission. The experiment was tried. A new, strange, unexpected face of things appeared. Anarchy is found tolerable.<sup>121</sup> A vast province has now subsisted, and subsisted in a considerable degree of health and vigor for nearly a twelvemonth, without Governor, without public Council, without judges, without executive magistrates. How long it will continue in this state, or what may arise out of this unheard-of situation, how can the wisest of us conjecture? Our late experience has taught us that many of those fundamental principles, formerly believed infallible, are either not of the importance they were imagined to be, or that we have not at all adverted to some other far more important and far more powerful principles, which entirely overrule those we had considered as omnipotent.<sup>122</sup> I am much against any further experiments which tend to put to the proof any more of these allowed opinions which contribute so much to the public tranquillity. In effect, we suffer as much at home by this loosening of all ties, and this concussion of all established opinions, as we do abroad; for in order to prove that the Americans have no right to their liberties, we are every day endeavoring to subvert the maxims which preserve the whole spirit of our own. To prove that the Americans ought not to be free, we are obliged to depreciate the value of freedom itself; and we never seem to gain a paltry advantage over them in debate without attacking some of those principles, or deriding some of those feelings, for which our ancestors have shed their blood.

47. But, Sir, in wishing to put an end to pernicious

## Speech on Conciliation with America

experiments, I do not mean to preclude the fullest inquiry. Far from it. Far from deciding on a sudden or partial view, I would patiently go round and round the subject, and survey it minutely in every possible aspect. Sir, if I were capable of engaging you to an equal attention, I would state that, as far as I am capable of discerning, there are but three ways of proceeding relative to this stubborn spirit which prevails in your Colonies, and disturbs your government. These are—to change that spirit, as inconvenient, by removing the causes; to prosecute it as criminal; or to comply with it as necessary.) I would not be guilty of an imperfect enumeration; I can think of but these three. Another has indeed been started—that of giving up the Colonies; but it met so slight a reception that I do not think myself obliged to dwell a great while upon it. It is nothing but a little sally of anger, like the frowardness of peevish children, who, when they cannot get all they would have, are resolved to take nothing.<sup>123</sup>

44 The first of these plans—to change the spirit, as inconvenient, by removing the causes—I think is the most like a systematic proceeding. It is radical<sup>124</sup> in its principle; but it is attended with great difficulties, some of them little short, as I conceive, of impossibilities. This will appear by examining into the plans which have been proposed.

45 As the growing population in the Colonies is evidently one cause of their resistance, it was last session mentioned in both Houses, by men of weight, and received not without applause, that in order to check this evil it would be proper for the Crown to make no further grants of land. But to this scheme there are two objections. The first, that there is already so much unsettled land in private hands as to afford room for an immense future population, although the Crown not only withheld its grants, but annihilated its soil. If this be the case, then the only effect of this avarice of desolation, this hoarding of a royal wilderness, would be to raise the value of the possessions in the hands of the great

## Speech on Conciliation with America

private monopolists,<sup>125</sup> without any adequate check to the growing and alarming mischief of population.

50. But, if you stopped your grants, what would be the consequence? The people would occupy without grants. They have already so occupied in many places. You cannot station garrisons in every part of these deserts.<sup>126</sup> If you drive the people from one place, they will carry on their annual tillage, and remove with their flocks and herds to another. Many of the people in the back settlements are already little attached to particular situations. Already they have topped the Appalachian Mountains. From thence they behold before them an immense plain, one vast, rich, level meadow; a square of five hundred miles. Over this they would wander without a possibility of restraint; they would change their manners with the habits of their life; would soon forget a government by which they were disowned; would become hordes of English Tartars,<sup>127</sup> and, pouring down upon your unfortified frontiers a fierce and irresistible cavalry, become masters of your governors and your counsellors, your collectors and comptrollers, and of all the slaves that adhered to them. Such would, and in no long time must be, the effect of attempting to forbid as a crime and to suppress as an evil the command and blessing of Providence, *Increase and multiply*. Such would be the happy result of the endeavor to keep as a lair of wild beasts that earth which God, by an express charter, has given to the children of men. Far different, and surely much wiser, has been our policy hitherto. Hitherto we have invited our people, by every kind of bounty, to fixed establishments. We have invited the husbandman to look to authority for his title. We have taught him piously to believe in the mysterious virtue of wax and parchment. We have thrown each tract of land, as it was peopled, into districts, that the ruling power should never be wholly out of sight. We have settled all we could; and we have carefully attended every settlement with government.

51. Adhering, Sir, as I do, to this policy, as well as for



## Speech on Conciliation with America

the reasons I have just given, I think this new project of hedging-in population to be neither prudent nor practicable.

52. To impoverish the Colonies in general, and in particular to arrest the noble course of their marine enterprises, would be a more easy task. I freely confess it. We have shown a disposition to a system of this kind, a disposition even to continue the restraint after the offense, looking on ourselves as rivals to our Colonies, and persuaded that of course we must gain all that they shall lose. Much mischief we may certainly do. The power inadequate to all other things is often more than sufficient for this. I do not look on the direct and immediate power of the Colonies to resist our violence as very formidable.<sup>128</sup> In this, however, I may be mistaken. But when I consider that we have Colonies for no purpose but to be serviceable to us, it seems to my poor understanding a little preposterous to make them unserviceable in order to keep them obedient. It is, in truth, nothing more than the old and, as I thought, exploded<sup>129</sup> problem of tyranny, which proposes to beggar its subjects into submission. But remember, when you have completed your system of impoverishment, that nature still proceeds in her ordinary course; that discontent will increase with misery; and that there are critical moments in the fortune of all states when they who are too weak to contribute to your prosperity may be strong enough to complete your ruin. *Spoliatis arma supersunt.*<sup>130</sup>

53. The temper and character which prevail in our Colonies are, I am afraid, unalterable by any human art. We cannot, I fear, falsify the pedigree of this fierce people, and persuade them that they are not sprung from a nation in whose veins the blood of freedom circulates. The language in which they would hear you tell them this tale would detect the imposition; your speech would betray you. An Englishman is the unfittest person on earth to argue another Englishman into slavery.

54. I think it is nearly as little in our power to change

## Speech on Conciliation with America

their republican religion as their free descent; or to substitute the Roman Catholic as a penalty, or the Church of England as an improvement. The mode of inquisition and dragooning<sup>131</sup> is going out of fashion in the Old World, and I should not confide much to their efficacy in the New. The education of the Americans is also on the same unalterable bottom with their religion. You cannot persuade them to burn their books of curious science; to banish their lawyers from their courts of laws; or to quench the lights of their assemblies by refusing to choose those persons who are best read in their privileges. It would be no less impracticable to think of wholly annihilating the popular assemblies in which these lawyers sit. The army, by which we must govern in their place, would be far more chargeable<sup>132</sup> to us, not quite so effectual, and perhaps in the end full as difficult to be kept in obedience.

55. With regard to the high aristocratic spirit of Virginia and the Southern Colonies, it has been proposed, I know, to reduce it by declaring a general enfranchisement<sup>133</sup> of their slaves. This object has had its advocates and panegyrists;<sup>134</sup> yet I never could argue myself into any opinion of it. Slaves are often much attached to their masters. A general wild offer of liberty would not always be accepted. History furnishes few instances of it. It is sometimes as hard to persuade slaves to be free, as it is to compel freemen to be slaves; and in this auspicious<sup>135</sup> scheme we should have both these pleasing tasks on our hands at once. But when we talk of enfranchisement, do we not perceive that the American master may enfranchise too, and arm servile hands in defense of freedom?—a measure to which other people have had recourse more than once, and not without success, in a desperate situation of their affairs.

56. Slaves as these unfortunate black people are, and dull as all men are from slavery, must they not a little suspect the offer of freedom from that very nation which has sold them to their present masters?—from that nation, one of whose causes of quarrel with those masters is their refusal

## Speech on Conciliation with America

to deal any more in that inhuman traffic? An offer of freedom from England would come rather oddly, shipped to them in an African vessel which is refused an entry into the ports of Virginia or Carolina with a cargo of three hundred Angola negroes. It would be curious to see the Guinea captain attempting at the same instant to publish his proclamation of liberty, and to advertise his sale of slaves.<sup>186</sup>

57. But let us suppose all these moral difficulties got over. The ocean remains. You cannot pump this dry; and as long as it continues in its present bed, so long all the causes which weaken authority by distance will continue.

Ye gods, annihilate but space and time,  
And make two lovers happy!

was a pious and passionate prayer; but just as reasonable as many of the serious wishes of grave and solemn politicians.

58. If then, Sir, it seems almost desperate to think of any alterative course for changing the moral causes, and not quite easy to remove the natural, which produce prejudices irreconcilable to the late exercise of our authority—but that the spirit infallibly will continue, and, continuing, will produce such effects as now embarrass us—the second mode under consideration is to prosecute that spirit in its overt<sup>137</sup> acts as criminal.

6 59. At this proposition I must pause a moment. The thing seems a great deal too big for my ideas of jurisprudence. It should seem to my way of conceiving such matters that there is a very wide difference, in reason and policy, between the mode of proceeding on the irregular conduct of scattered individuals, or even of bands of men who disturb order within the state, and the civil dissensions which may, from time to time, on great questions, agitate the several communities which compose a great empire. It looks to me to be narrow and pedantic<sup>138</sup> to apply the ordinary ideas of criminal justice to this great public contest. I do not know the method of drawing up an indictment against a whole people. I cannot

## Speech on Conciliation with America

insult and ridicule the feelings of millions of my fellow-creatures as Sir Edward Coke insulted one excellent individual (Sir Walter Raleigh) at the bar.<sup>139</sup> I hope I am not ripe to pass sentence on the gravest public bodies, intrusted with magistracies of great authority and dignity, and charged with the safety of their fellow-citizens, upon the very same title that I am. I really think that, for wise men, this is not judicious; for sober men, not decent; for minds tinctured with humanity, not mild and merciful.

60. Perhaps, Sir, I am mistaken in my idea of an empire, as distinguished from a single state or kingdom. But my idea of it is this, that an empire is the aggregate of many states under one common head, whether this head be a monarch or a presiding republic. It does, in such constitutions, frequently happen—and nothing but the dismal, cold, dead uniformity of servitude can prevent its happening—that the subordinate parts have many local privileges and immunities.<sup>140</sup> Between these privileges and the supreme common authority the line may be extremely nice.<sup>141</sup> Of course disputes, often, too, very bitter disputes, and much ill-blood, will arise. But though every privilege is an exemption, in the case, from the ordinary exercise of the supreme authority, it is no denial of it. The claim of a privilege seems rather, *ex vi termini*,<sup>142</sup> to imply a superior power; for to talk of the privileges of a state or of a person who has no superior is hardly any better than speaking nonsense. Now, in such unfortunate quarrels among the component parts of a great political union of communities, I can scarcely conceive anything more completely imprudent than for the head of the empire to insist that, if any privilege is pleaded against his will or his acts, his whole authority is denied; instantly to proclaim rebellion, to beat to arms, and to put the offending provinces under the ban. Will not this, Sir, very soon teach the provinces to make no distinctions on their part? Will it not teach them that the government, against which a claim of liberty is tantamount<sup>143</sup> to high treason, is a government

## Speech on Conciliation with America

to which submission is equivalent to slavery? It may not always be quite convenient to impress dependent communities with such an idea.

61. We are, indeed, in all disputes with the Colonies, by the necessity of things, the judge. It is true, Sir. But I confess that the character of judge in my own cause is a thing that frightens me. Instead of filling me with pride, I am exceedingly humbled by it. I cannot proceed with a stern, assured, judicial confidence, until I find myself in something more like a judicial character. I must have these hesitations as long as I am compelled to recollect that, in my little reading upon such contests as these, the sense of mankind has at least as often decided against the superior as the subordinate power. Sir, let me add, too, that the opinion of my having some abstract right in my favor would not put me much at my ease in passing sentence, unless I could be sure that there were no rights which, in their exercise under certain circumstances, were not the most odious of all wrongs and the most vexatious of all injustice.<sup>144</sup> Sir, these considerations have great weight with me when I find things so circumstanced, that I see the same party at once a civil litigant against me in point of right and a culprit before me, while I sit as a criminal judge on acts of his whose moral quality is to be decided upon the merits of that very litigation. Men are every now and then put, by the complexity of human affairs, into strange situations; but justice is the same, let the judge be in what situation he will.<sup>145</sup>

62. There is, Sir, also a circumstance which convinces me that this mode of criminal proceeding is not, at least in the present stage of our contest, altogether expedient;<sup>146</sup> which is nothing less than the conduct of those very persons who have seemed to adopt that mode by lately declaring a rebellion in Massachusetts Bay, as they had formerly addressed<sup>147</sup> to have traitors brought hither, under an Act of Henry the Eighth, for trial. For though rebellion is declared, it is not proceeded against as such, nor have any

## Speech on Conciliation with America

steps been taken towards the apprehension<sup>148</sup> or conviction of any individual offender, either on our late or our former Address; but modes of public coercion have been adopted, and such as have much more resemblance to a sort of qualified hostility towards an independent power than the punishment of rebellious subjects. All this seems rather inconsistent; but it shows how difficult it is to apply these juridical<sup>149</sup> ideas to our present case.

63. In this situation, let us seriously and coolly ponder. What is it we have got by all our menaces, which have been many and ferocious? What advantage have we derived from the penal laws we have passed, and which, for the time, have been severe and numerous? What advances have we made towards our object by the sending of a force which, by land and sea, is no contemptible strength? Has the disorder abated? Nothing less. When I see things in this situation after such confident hopes, bold promises, and active exertions, I cannot, for my life, avoid a suspicion that the plan itself is not correctly right.

64. If, then, the removal of the causes of this spirit of American liberty be for the greater part, or rather entirely, impracticable; if the ideas of criminal process be inapplicable—or, if applicable, are in the highest degree inexpedient; what way yet remains? No way is open but the third and last—to comply with the American spirit as necessary; or, if you please, to submit to it as a necessary evil.

65. If we adopt this mode—if we mean to conciliate and concede,—let us see of what nature the concession ought to be. To ascertain the nature of our concession, we must look at their complaint. The Colonies complain that they have not the characteristic mark and seal of British freedom. They complain that they are taxed in a Parliament in which they are not represented. If you mean to satisfy them at all, you must satisfy them with regard to this complaint. If you mean to please any people you must give them the boon which they ask; not what you may think better for them,

## Speech on Conciliation with America

but of a kind totally different. Such an act may be a wise regulation, but it is no concession; whereas our present theme is the mode of giving satisfaction.

66. Sir, I think you must perceive that I am resolved this day to have nothing at all to do with the question of the right of taxation.<sup>150</sup> Some gentlemen startle—but it is true; I put it totally out of the question. It is less than nothing in my consideration. I do not indeed wonder, nor will you, Sir, that gentlemen of profound learning are fond of displaying it on this profound subject. But my consideration is narrow, confined, and wholly limited to the policy<sup>151</sup> of the question. I do not examine whether the giving away a man's money be a power excepted and reserved out of the general trust of government, and how far all mankind, in all forms of polity,<sup>152</sup> are entitled to an exercise of that right by the charter of nature; or whether, on the contrary, a right of taxation is necessarily involved in the general principle of legislation, and inseparable from the ordinary supreme power. These are deep questions, where great names militate<sup>153</sup> against each other, where reason is perplexed, and an appeal to authorities only thickens the confusion; for high and reverend authorities lift up their heads on both sides, and there is no sure footing in the middle. This point is the great

Serbonian bog,  
Betwixt Damietta and Mount Casius old,  
Where armies whole have sunk.<sup>154</sup>

I do not intend to be overwhelmed in that bog, though in such respectable company. The question with me is, not whether you have a right to render your people miserable, but whether it is not your interest to make them happy! It is not what a lawyer tells me I may do, but what humanity, reason, and justice tell me I ought to do.<sup>155</sup> Is a political act the worse for being a generous one? Is no concession proper but that which is made from your want of right to keep what you grant? Or does it lessen the grace or dignity

## Speech on Conciliation with America

of relaxing in the exercise of an odious claim because you have your evidence-room full of titles, and your magazines stuffed with arms to enforce them? What signify all those titles, and all those arms? Of what avail are they, when the reason of the thing tells me that the assertion of my title is the loss of my suit, and that I could do nothing but wound myself by the use of my own weapons?

67. Such is steadfastly my opinion of the absolute necessity of keeping up the concord of this Empire by an unity of spirit, though in a diversity of operations, that, if I were sure the Colonists had, at their leaving this country, sealed a regular compact of servitude; that they had solemnly abjured all the rights of citizens; that they had made a vow to renounce all ideas of liberty for them and their posterity to all generations; yet I should hold myself obliged to conform to the temper I found universally prevalent in my own day, and to govern two millions of men, impatient of servitude, on the principles of freedom. I am not determining a point of law, I am restoring tranquility; and the general character and situation of a people must determine what sort of government is fitted for them. That point nothing else can or ought to determine.

68. My idea, therefore, without considering whether we yield as matter of right, or grant as matter of favor, is to admit the people of our Colonies into an interest in the Constitution; and, by recording that admission in the journals of Parliament, to give them as strong an assurance as the nature of the thing will admit, that we mean forever to adhere to that solemn declaration of systematic indulgence.

69. Some years ago the repeal of a revenue Act, upon its understood principle, might have served to show that we intended an unconditional abatement of the exercise of a taxing power. Such a measure was then sufficient to remove all suspicion, and to give perfect content. But unfortunate events since that time may make something further necessary; and not more necessary for the satisfaction of the



## Speech on Conciliation with America

Colonies than for the dignity and consistency of our own future proceedings.

71. 69. I have taken a very incorrect measure of the disposition of the House if this proposal in itself would be received with dislike. I think, Sir, we have few American financiers.<sup>156</sup> But our misfortune is, we are too acute, we are too exquisite in our conjectures of the future, for men oppressed with such great and present evils. [The more moderate among the opposers of Parliamentary concession freely confess that they hope no good from taxation, but they apprehend the Colonists have further views; and if this point were conceded, they would instantly attack the trade laws.<sup>157</sup> These gentlemen are convinced that this was the intention from the beginning, and the quarrel of the Americans with taxation was no more than a cloak and cover to this design. Such has been the language even of a gentleman of real moderation, and of a natural temper well adjusted to fair and equal government. I am, however, Sir, not a little surprised at this kind of discourse, whenever I hear it; and I am the more surprised on account of the arguments which I constantly find in company with it, and which are often urged from the same mouths and on the same day.]

72. For instance, when we allege that it is against reason to tax a people under so many restraints in trade as the Americans, the noble lord in the blue ribbon shall tell you that the restraints are brutal and useless—of no advantage to us, and of no burthen to those on whom they are imposed; that the trade to America is not secured by the Acts of Navigation, but by the natural and irresistible advantage of a commercial preference.

73. Such is the merit of the trade laws in this posture of the debate. [But when strong internal circumstances are urged against the taxes; when the scheme is dissected: when experience and the nature of things are brought to prove and do prove, the utter impossibility of obtaining

## Speech on Conciliation with America

an effective revenue from the Colonies; when those things are pressed, or rather press themselves, so as to drive the advocates of Colony taxes to a clear admission of the futility of the scheme; then, Sir, the sleeping trade laws revive from their trance, and this useless taxation is to be kept sacred, not for its own sake, but as a counter-guard and security of the laws of trade.

— 72. Then, Sir, you keep up revenue laws which are mischievous, in order to preserve trade laws that are useless. Such is the wisdom of our plan in both its members. They are separately given up as of no value, and yet one is always to be defended for the sake of the other; but I cannot agree with the noble lord, nor with the pamphlet from whence he seems to have borrowed these ideas concerning the inutility of the trade laws. For, without idolizing them, I am sure they are still, in many ways, of great use to us; and in former times they have been of the greatest. They do confine, and they do greatly narrow, the market for the Americans; but my perfect conviction of this does not help me in the least to discern how the revenue laws from any security whatsoever to the commercial regulations, or that these commercial regulations are the true ground of the quarrel, or that the giving way, in any one instance, of authority, is to lose all that may remain unconceded.

73. One fact is clear and indisputable. The public and avowed origin of this quarrel was on taxation. This quarrel has indeed brought on new disputes on new questions; but certainly the least bitter, and the fewest of all, on the trade laws. To judge which of the two be the real radical cause of quarrel, we have to see whether the commercial dispute did, in order of time, precede the dispute on taxation? There is not a shadow of evidence for it. Next, to enable us to judge whether at this moment a dislike to the trade laws be the real cause of quarrel, it is absolutely necessary to put the taxes out of the question by a repeal. See how the Americans act in this position, and then you will be able to discern

## Speech on Conciliation with America

correctly what is the true object of the controversy, or whether any controversy at all will remain. Unless you consent to remove this cause of difference, it is impossible, with decency, to assert that the dispute is not upon what it is avowed to be. And I would, Sir, recommend to your serious consideration whether it be prudent to form a rule for punishing people, not on their own acts, but on your conjectures? Surely it is preposterous at the very best. It is not justifying your anger by their misconduct, but it is converting your ill-will into their delinquency.

74. "But the Colonies will go further." Alas! alas! when will this speculation against fact and reason end? What will quiet these panic fears which we entertain of the hostile effect of a conciliatory conduct? Is it true that no case can exist in which it is proper for the sovereign to accede to the desires of his discontented subjects? Is there anything peculiar in this case to make a rule for itself? Is all authority of course lost when it is not pushed to the extreme? Is it a certain maxim that the fewer causes of dissatisfaction are left by government, the more the subject will be inclined to resist and rebel? <sup>158</sup>

75. All these objections being in fact no more than suspicions, conjectures, divinations, formed in defiance of fact and experience, they did not, Sir, discourage me from entertaining the idea of a conciliatory concession founded on the principles which I have just stated. B

76. In forming a plan for this purpose, I endeavored to put myself in that frame of mind which was the most natural and the most reasonable, and which was certainly the most probable means of securing me from all error. I set out with a perfect distrust of my own abilities, a total renunciation of every speculation of my own, and with a profound reverence for the wisdom of our ancestors, who have left us the inheritance of so happy a constitution and so flourishing an empire, and, what is a thousand times more valuable,

## Speech on Conciliation with America

the treasury of the maxims and principles which formed the one and obtained the other.

77. During the reigns of the kings of Spain of the Austrian family,<sup>159</sup> whenever they were at a loss in the Spanish councils, it was common for their statesmen to say that they ought to consult the genius of Philip the Second. The genius of Philip the Second might mislead them, and the issue of their affairs showed that they had not chosen the most perfect standard; but, Sir, I am sure that I shall not be misled when, in a case of constitutional difficulty, I consult the genius of the English Constitution. Consulting at that oracle<sup>160</sup>—it was with all due humility and piety—I found four capital examples in a similar case before me: those of Ireland, Wales, Chester, and Durham.

78. Ireland, before the English conquest,<sup>161</sup> though never governed by a despotic power, had no Parliament. How far the English Parliament itself was at that time modelled according to the present form is disputed among antiquaries; but we have all the reason in the world to be assured that a form of Parliament such as England then enjoyed she instantly communicated to Ireland, and we are equally sure that almost every successive improvement in constitutional liberty, as fast as it was made here, was transmitted thither. The feudal baronage and the feudal knighthood, the roots of our primitive Constitution, were early transplanted into that soil, and grew and flourished there. Magna Charta, if it did not give us originally the House of Commons, gave us at least a House of Commons of weight and consequence.<sup>162</sup> But your ancestors did not churlishly sit down alone to the feast of Magna Charta.<sup>163</sup> Ireland was made immediately a partaker. This benefit of English laws and liberties, I confess, was not at first extended to all Ireland. Mark the consequence. English authority and English liberties had exactly the same boundaries. Your standard could never be advanced an inch before your privileges. Sir John Davis<sup>164</sup> shows beyond a doubt that the refusal of a general communi-

## Speech on Conciliation with America

cation of these rights was the true cause why Ireland was five hundred years in subduing; and, after the vain projects of a military government, attempted in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, it was soon discovered that nothing could make that country English, in civility<sup>165</sup> and allegiance, but your laws and your forms of legislature. It was not English arms, but the English Constitution, that conquered Ireland. From that time Ireland has ever had a general Parliament, as she had before a partial Parliament. You changed the people; you altered the religion; but you never touched the form or the vital substance of free government in that kingdom. You deposed kings; <sup>166</sup> you restored them; you altered the succession to theirs, as well as to your own Crown; but you never altered their Constitution, the principle of which was respected by usurpation, restored with the restoration of monarchy, and established, I trust, forever, by the glorious Revolution. This has made Ireland the great and flourishing kingdom that it is, and, from a disgrace and a burthen intolerable to this nation, has rendered her a principal part of our strength and ornament. This country cannot be said ever to have formally taxed her. The irregular things done in the confusion of mighty troubles and on the hinge of great revolutions, even if all were done that is said to have been done, form no example. If they have any effect in argument, they make an exception to prove the rule. None of your own liberties could stand a moment, if the casual deviations from them at such times were suffered to be used as proofs of their nullity. By the lucrative<sup>167</sup> amount of such casual breaches in the Constitution, judge what the stated and fixed rule of supply has been in that kingdom. Your Irish pensioners would starve, if they had no other fund to live on than taxes granted by English authority. Turn your eyes to those popular grants from whence all your great supplies are come, and learn to respect that only source of public wealth in the British Empire.<sup>168</sup>

## Speech on Conciliation with America

79. My next example is Wales. This country was said to be reduced by Henry the Third. It was said more truly to be so by Edward the First.<sup>169</sup> But though then conquered, it was not looked upon as any part of the realm of England. Its old Constitution, whatever that might have been, was destroyed, and no good one was substituted in its place. The care of that tract was put into the hands of Lords Marchers—a form of government of a very singular kind; a strange heterogeneous monster, something between hostility and government; perhaps it has a sort of resemblance, according to the modes of those terms, to that of Commander-in-chief<sup>170</sup> at present, to whom all civil power is granted as secondary. The manners of the Welsh nation followed the genius of the government. The people were ferocious, restive, savage, and uncultivated; sometimes composed, never pacified. Wales, within itself, was in perpetual disorder, and kept the frontier of England in perpetual alarm. Benefits from it to the state there were none. Wales was only known to England by incursion and invasion.<sup>171</sup>

80. Sir, during that state of things, Parliament was not idle. They attempted to subdue the fierce spirit of the Welsh by all sorts of rigorous laws. They prohibited by statute the sending all sorts of arms into Wales, as you prohibit by proclamation (with something more of doubt on the legality) the sending arms to America.<sup>172</sup> They disarmed the Welsh by statute, as you attempted (but still with more question on the legality) to disarm New England by an instruction. They made an Act to drag offenders from Wales into England for trial, as you have done (but with more hardship) with regard to America. By another Act, where one of the parties was an Englishman, they ordained that his trial should be always by English. They made Acts to restrain trade, as you do; and they prevented the Welsh from the use of the fairs and markets, as you do the Americans from fisheries and foreign ports. In short, when the Statute

## Speech on Conciliation with America

Book was not quite so much swelled as it is now, you find no less than fifteen acts of penal regulation on the subject of Wales.

Here we rub our hands.—A fine body of precedents for the authority of Parliament and the use of it!—I admit it fully; and pray add likewise to these precedents that all the while Wales rid <sup>173</sup> this kingdom like an incubus, that it was an unprofitable and oppressive burthen, and that an Englishman travelling in that country could not go six yards from the high road without being murdered.

81. The march of the human mind is slow. Sir, it was not until after two hundred years discovered that, by an eternal law, Providence had decreed vexation to violence, and poverty to rapine.<sup>174</sup> Your ancestors did, however, at length open their eyes to the ill-husbandry <sup>175</sup> of injustice. They found that the tyranny of a free people could of all tyrannies the least be endured, and that laws made against a whole nation were not the most effectual methods of securing its obedience. Accordingly, in the twenty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth the course was entirely altered. With a preamble stating the entire and perfect rights of the Crown of England, it gave to the Welsh all the rights and privileges of English subjects. A political order was established; the military power gave way to the civil; the Marches were turned into Counties. But that a nation should have a right to English liberties, and yet no share at all in the fundamental security of these liberties—the grant of their own property—seemed a thing so incongruous, that, eight years after, that is, in the thirty-fifth of that reign, a complete and not ill-proportioned representation by counties and boroughs was bestowed upon Wales by Act of Parliament. From that moment, as by a charm, the tumults subsided, obedience was restored; peace, order, and civilization followed in the train of liberty. When the day-star of the English Constitution had arisen in their hearts, all was harmony within and without—

## Speech on Conciliation with America

—simul alba nautis  
Stella refulsit,  
Defluit saxis agitatus humor;  
Concidunt venti, fugiuntque nubes,  
Et minax (quod sic voluere) ponto  
Unda recumbit.<sup>176</sup>

82. The very same year the County Palatine<sup>177</sup> of Chester received the same relief from its oppressions and the same remedy to its disorders. Before this time Chester was little less distempered than Wales. The inhabitants, without rights themselves, were the fittest to destroy the rights of others; and from thence Richard the Second<sup>178</sup> drew the standing army of archers with which for a time he oppressed England. The people of Chester applied to Parliament in a petition penned as I shall read to you:

To the King, our Sovereign Lord, in most humble wise shewen unto your excellent Majesty the inhabitants of your Grace's County Palatine of Chester: (1) That where the said County Palatine of Chester is and hath been always hitherto exempt, excluded, and separated out and from your High Court of Parliament, to have any Knights and Burgesses within the said Court: by reason whereof the said inhabitants have hitherto sustained manifold disherisons,<sup>179</sup> losses, and damages, as well as in their lands, goods, and bodies, as in the good, civil, and politic governance and maintenance of the commonwealth of their said county; (2) And forasmuch as the said inhabitants have always hitherto been bound by the Acts and Statutes made and ordained by your said Highness and your most noble progenitors, by authority of the said Court, as far forth as other counties, cities, and boroughs have been, that have had their Knights and Burgesses within your said Court of Parliament, and yet have had neither Knight ne Burgess there for the said County Palatine; the said inhabitants, for lack thereof, have been oftentimes touched and grieved with Acts and Statutes made within the said Court, as well derogatory unto the most ancient jurisdictions, liberties, and privileges of your said County Palatine, as prejudicial unto the commonwealth, quietness, rest, and peace of your Grace's most bounden subjects inhabiting within the same.<sup>180</sup>

83. What did Parliament with this audacious address?—Reject it as a libel? Treat it as an affront to Government?



## Speech on Conciliation with America

Spurn it as a derogation from the rights of legislature? Did they toss it over the table? Did they burn it by the hands of the common hangman?—They took the petition of grievance, all rugged as it was, without softening or temperament,<sup>181</sup> unpurged of the original bitterness and indignation of complaint—they made it the very preamble to their Act of redress, and consecrated its principle to all ages in the sanctuary of legislation.

84. Here is my third example. It was attended with the success of the two former. Chester, civilized as well as Wales, has demonstrated that freedom, and not servitude is the cure of anarchy; as religion, and not atheism, is the true remedy for superstition.<sup>182</sup> Sir, this pattern of Chester was followed in the reign of Charles the Second<sup>183</sup> with regard to the County Palatine of Durham,<sup>184</sup> which is my fourth example. This county had long lain out of the pale<sup>185</sup> of free legislation. So scrupulously was the example of Chester followed that the style of the preamble is nearly the same with that of the Chester Act; and, without affecting the abstract extent of the authority of Parliament, it recognizes the equity<sup>186</sup> of not suffering any considerable district in which the British subjects may act as a body, to be taxed without their own voice in the grant.

85. Now, if the doctrines of policy contained in these preambles, and the force of these examples in the Acts of Parliaments, avail anything, what can be said against applying them with regard to America? Are not the people of America as much Englishmen as the Welsh? The preamble of the Act of Henry the Eighth says the Welsh speak a language no way resembling that of his Majesty's English subjects. Are the Americans not as numerous? If we may trust the learned and accurate Judge Barrington's account of North Wales, and take that as a standard to measure the rest, there is no comparison. The people cannot amount to above 200,000; not a tenth part of the number in the Colonies. Is America in rebellion? Wales was hardly ever free

## Speech on Conciliation with America

from it. Have you attempted to govern America by penal statutes? You made fifteen for Wales. But your legislative authority is perfect with regard to America. Was it less perfect in Wales, Chester and Durham? But America is virtually represented.<sup>187</sup> What! does the electric force of virtual representation more easily pass over the Atlantic than pervade Wales, which lies in your neighborhood—or than Chester and Durham, surrounded by abundance of representation that is actual and palpable? But, Sir, your ancestors thought this sort of virtual representation, however ample, to be totally insufficient for the freedom of the inhabitants of territories that are so near, and comparatively so inconsiderable. How then can I think it sufficient for those which are infinitely greater and infinitely more remote.<sup>188</sup>

86. You will now, Sir, perhaps imagine that I am on the point of proposing to you a scheme for a representation of the Colonies in Parliament. Perhaps I might be inclined to entertain some such thought; but a great flood<sup>189</sup> stops me in my course. *Opposuit natura*.<sup>190</sup>—I cannot remove the eternal barriers of the creation. The thing, in that mode, I do not know to be possible. As I meddle with no theory, I do not absolutely assert the impracticability of such a representation; but I do not see my way to it, and those who have been more confident have not been more successful.<sup>191</sup> However, the arm of public benevolence is not shortened, and there are often several means to the same end. What nature has disjoined in one way, wisdom may unite in another. When we cannot give the benefit as we would wish, let us not refuse it altogether. If we cannot give the principal, let us find a substitute. But how? Where? What substitute?<sup>192</sup>

87. Fortunately I am not obliged for the ways and means of this substitute, to tax my own unproductive invention. I am not even obliged to go to the rich treasury of the fertile framers of imaginary commonwealths—not to the Republic

## Speech on Conciliation with America

of Plato, not to the Utopia of More, not to the Oceana of Harrington.<sup>193</sup> It is before me—it is at my feet,

And the rude swain  
Treads daily on it with his clouted shoon.<sup>194</sup>

I only wish you to recognize, for the theory, the ancient constitutional policy of this kingdom with regard to representation, as that policy has been declared in Acts of Parliament; and, as to the practice, to return to that mode which a uniform experience has marked out to you as best, and in which you walked with security, advantage, and honor, until the year 1763.<sup>195</sup>

(88.) My Resolutions therefore mean to establish the equity and justice of a taxation of America by *grant*, and not by *imposition*; <sup>196</sup> to mark the *legal competency* of the Colony Assemblies for the support of their government in peace, and for public aids in time of war; to acknowledge that this legal competency has had a *dutiful and beneficial exercise*; and that experience has shown the *benefit of their grants*, and the *futility of Parliamentary taxation* as a method of supply.

89. These solid truths compose six fundamental propositions. There are three more Resolutions corollary <sup>197</sup> to these. If you admit the first set, you can hardly reject the others. But if you admit the first, I shall be far from solicitous whether you accept or refuse the last. I think these six massive pillars will be of strength sufficient to support the temple of British concord.<sup>198</sup> I have no more doubt than I entertain of my existence that, if you admitted these, you would command an immediate peace, and, with but tolerable future management, a lasting obedience in America. I am not arrogant in this confident assurance. The propositions are all mere matters of fact, and if they are such facts as draw irresistible conclusions even in the stating, this is the power of truth, and not any management of mine.

90. Sir, I shall open the whole plan to you, together with such observations on the motions as may tend to illustrate

## Speech on Conciliation with America

them where they may want explanation. The first is a Resolution—

That the Colonies and Plantations of Great Britain in North America, consisting of fourteen<sup>199</sup> separate Governments, and containing two millions and upwards of free inhabitants, have not had the liberty and privilege of electing and sending any Knights (and Burgesses, or others,) to represent them in the High Court of Parliament.

91. This is a plain matter of fact, necessary to be laid down, and, excepting the description,<sup>200</sup> it is laid down in the language of the Constitution; it is taken nearly *verbatim*<sup>201</sup> from acts of Parliament.

92. The second is like unto the first—

That the (said) Colonies and Plantations have been liable to, and bounden by, several subsidies, payments, (rates,) and taxes given and granted by Parliament, though the (said) Colonies and Plantations have not their Knights and Burgesses in (the said High Court of) Parliament, (of their own election,) to represent the condition of their country; by lack whereof they have been oftentimes touched and grieved by subsidies (given, granted, and assented to, in the said Court,) in a manner prejudicial to the commonwealth, (quietness, rest, and) peace of the subjects inhabiting within the same.

93. Is this description too hot, or too cold; too strong, or too weak? Does it arrogate too much to the supreme legislature? Does it lean too much to the claims of the people? If it runs into any of these errors, the fault is not mine. It is the language of your own ancient Acts of Parliament.

Non meus hic sermo, sed quæ præcepit Ofellus,  
Rusticus, abnormis sapiens.<sup>202</sup>

It is the genuine product of the ancient, rustic, manly, home-bred sense of this country.—I did not dare to rub off a particle of the venerable rust that rather adorns and preserves, than destroys, the metal.<sup>203</sup> It would be a profanation to touch with a tool the stones which construct the sacred altar of peace. I would not violate with modern

## Speech on Conciliation with America

polish the ingenuous<sup>204</sup> and noble roughness of these truly Constitutional materials. Above all things, I was resolved not to be guilty of tampering, the odious vice of restless and unstable minds. I put my foot in the tracks of our forefathers, where I can neither wander nor stumble.<sup>205</sup> Determining to fix articles of peace, I was resolved not to be wise beyond what was written; I was resolved to use nothing else than the form of sound words, to let others abound in their own sense, and carefully to abstain from all expressions of my own. What the law has said, I say. In all things else I am silent. I have no organ but for her words. This, if it be not ingenious, I am sure is safe.

94 There are indeed words expressive of grievance in this second Resolution, which those who are resolved always to be in the right<sup>206</sup> will deny to contain matter of fact, as applied to the present case, although Parliament thought them true with regard to the counties of Chester and Durham. They will deny that the Americans were ever "touched and grieved" with the taxes. If they consider nothing in taxes but their weight as pecuniary impositions, there might be some pretense for this denial; but men may be sorely touched and deeply grieved in their privileges, as well as in their purses. Men may lose little in property by the act which takes away all their freedom.<sup>207</sup> When a man is robbed of a trifle on the highway, it is not the twopence lost that constitutes the capital<sup>208</sup> outrage. This is not confined to privileges. Even ancient indulgences, withdrawn without offense on the part of those who enjoyed such favors, operate as grievances. But were the Americans then not touched and grieved by the taxes, in some measure, merely as taxes? If so, why were they almost all either wholly repealed, or exceedingly reduced? Were they not touched and grieved even by the regulating duties of the sixth of George the Second? Else, why were the duties first reduced to one third in 1764, and afterwards to a third of that third in the year 1766? Were they not touched and

## Speech on Conciliation with America

grieved by the Stamp Act? I shall say they were, until that tax is revived. Were they not touched and grieved by the duties of 1767, which were likewise repealed, and which Lord Hillsborough tells you, for the Ministry, were laid contrary to the true principle of commerce? Is not the assurance given by that noble person to the Colonies of a resolution to lay no more taxes on them an admission that taxes would touch and grieve them? Is not the Resolution of the noble lord in the blue ribbon, now standing on your Journals, the strongest of all proofs that Parliamentary subsidies really touched and grieved them? Else why all these changes, modifications, repeals, assurances, and resolutions?

95. The next proposition is—

That, from the distance of the (said) Colonies, (and from other circumstances,) no method hath hitherto been devised for procuring a representation in Parliament (for the said Colonies.)

96. This is an assertion of a fact. I go no further on the paper, though, in my private judgment, a useful representation is impossible—I am sure it is not desired by them, nor ought it perhaps by us—but I abstain from opinions.

97. The fourth Resolution is—

That each of the (said) Colonies hath within itself a body, chosen in part, or in the whole, by the freemen, freeholders, or other free inhabitants thereof, commonly called the General Assembly, (or General Court;) with powers legally to raise, levy, and assess, according to the several usage of such Colonies, duties and taxes towards defraying all sorts of public services.

98. This competence <sup>209</sup> in the Colony Assemblies is certain. It is proved by the whole tenor of their Acts of Supply in all the Assemblies, in which the constant style of granting is, “an aid to his Majesty;” and Acts granting to the Crown have regularly for near a century passed the public offices <sup>210</sup> without dispute. Those who have been pleased paradoxically to deny this right, holding that none but the British Parliament can grant to the Crown, are wished to look to what is

## Speech on Conciliation with America

done, not only in the Colonies, but in Ireland, in one uniform unbroken tenor every session. Sir, I am surprised that this doctrine should come from some of the law servants of the Crown. I say that if the Crown could be responsible, His Majesty—but certainly the Ministers,—and even these law officers themselves through whose hands the Acts passed, biennially in Ireland, or annually in the Colonies—are in an habitual course of committing impeachable offenses. What habitual offenders have been all Presidents of the Council, all Secretaries of State, all First Lords of Trade, all Attorneys, and all Solicitors General! However, they are safe, as no one impeaches them; and there is no ground of charge against them except in their own unfounded theories.

99. The fifth resolution is also a resolution of fact—

That the (said) General Assemblies (General Courts) or other bodies legally qualified as aforesaid, have at sundry times freely granted several large subsidies and public aids for his Majesty's service, according to their abilities, when required thereto by letter from one of his Majesty's principal Secretaries of State; and that their right to grant the same, and their cheerfulness and sufficiency in the said grants, have been at sundry times acknowledged by Parliament.

100. To say nothing of their great expenses in the Indian wars, and not to take their exertion in foreign ones so high<sup>211</sup> as the supplies in the year 1695—not to go back to their public contributions in the year 1710—I shall begin to travel only where the journals give me light, resolving to deal in nothing but fact, authenticated by Parliamentary record, and to build myself wholly on that solid basis.

101. On the 4th of April, 1748, a committee of this House came to the following resolution:

Resolved: That it is the opinion of this Committee that it is just and reasonable that the several Provinces and Colonies of Massachusetts Bay, New Hampshire, Connecticut, and Rhode Island, be reimbursed the expenses they have been at in taking and securing to the Crown of Great Britain the Island of Cape Breton and its dependencies.

## Speech on Conciliation with America

~~102.~~ The expenses were immense for such Colonies. They were above £200,000 sterling; money first raised and advanced on their public credit.

103. On the 28th of January, 1756, a message from the King came to us, to this effect:

His Majesty, being sensible of the zeal and vigor with which his faithful subjects of certain Colonies in North America have exerted themselves in defense of his Majesty's just rights and possessions, recommends it to this House to take the same into their consideration, and to enable his Majesty to give them such assistance as may be a proper reward and encouragement.

104. On the 3d of February, 1756, the House came to a suitable Resolution, expressed in words nearly the same as those of the message, but with the further addition, that the money then voted was as an encouragement to the Colonies to exert themselves with vigor. It will not be necessary to go through all the testimonies which your own records have given to the truth of my Resolutions. } I will only refer you to the places in the Journals:

Vol. xxvii.—16th and 19th May, 1757.

Vol. xxviii.—June 1st, 1758; April 26th and 30th, 1759; March 26th and 31st, and April 28th, 1760; Jan. 9th and 20th, 1761.

Vol. xxix.—Jan. 22d and 26th, 1762, March 14th and 17th, 1763.

105. Sir, here is the repeated acknowledgment of Parliament that the Colonies not only gave, but gave to satiety.<sup>212</sup> This nation has formally acknowledged two things: first, that the Colonies had gone beyond their abilities, Parliament having thought it necessary to reimburse them; secondly, that they had acted legally and laudably in their grants of money, and their maintenance of troops, since the compensation is expressly given as reward and encouragement. Reward is not bestowed for acts that are unlawful; and encouragement is not held out to things that deserve reprehension. My Resolution therefore does nothing more than collect into one proposition what is scattered through your Journals. I give you nothing but your own; and you



## Speech on Conciliation with America

cannot refuse in the gross what you have so often acknowledged in detail. The admission of this, which will be so honorable to them and to you, will, indeed, be mortal to all the miserable stories by which the passions of the misguided people have been engaged in an unhappy system.<sup>213</sup> The people heard, indeed, from the beginning of these disputes, one thing continually dinned in their ears, that reason and justice demanded that the Americans, who paid no taxes, should be compelled to contribute. How did that fact of their paying nothing stand when the taxing system began? When Mr. Grenville began to form his system of American revenue, he stated in this House that the Colonies were then in debt two millions six hundred thousand pounds sterling money, and was of opinion they would discharge that debt in four years. On this state,<sup>214</sup> those untaxed people were actually subject to the payment of taxes to the amount of six hundred and fifty thousand a year. In fact, however, Mr. Grenville was mistaken. The funds given for sinking the debt did not prove quite so ample as both the Colonies and he expected. The calculation was too sanguine; the reduction was not completed till some years after, and at different times in different Colonies. However, the taxes after the war continued too great to bear any addition, with prudence or propriety; and, when the burthens imposed in consequence of former requisitions were discharged, our tone became too high to resort again to requisition. No Colony, since that time, ever has had any requisition whatsoever made to it.

106. We see the sense of the Crown, and the sense of Parliament, on the productive nature of a *revenue by grant*. Now search the same Journals for the produce of the *revenue by imposition*. Where is it? Let us know the volume and the page. What is the gross, what is the net produce? To what service is it applied? How have you appropriated its surplus? What! Can none of the many skilful index-makers that we are now employing find any trace of it?—Well, let them and that rest together. But are the Journals,

## Speech on Conciliation with America

which say nothing of the revenue, as silent on the discontent? Oh, no! a child may find it. It is the melancholy burthen and blot of every page.<sup>215</sup>

107. I think, then, I am, from those Journals, justified in the sixth and last Resolution, which is —

That it hath been found by experience that the manner of granting the said supplies and aids, by the said General Assemblies, hath been more agreeable to the said Colonies, and more beneficial and conducive to the public service, than the mode of giving and granting aids in Parliament, to be raised and paid in the said Colonies.

108. This makes the whole of the fundamental part of the plan. The conclusion is irresistible. You cannot say that you were driven by any necessity to an exercise of the utmost rights of legislature. You cannot assert that you took on yourselves the task of imposing Colony taxes from the want of another legal body that is competent to the purpose of supplying the exigencies<sup>216</sup> of the state without wounding the prejudices of the people. Neither is it true that the body so qualified, and having that competence, had neglected the duty.

109. The question now, on all this accumulated matter, is: whether you will choose to abide by a profitable experience, or a mischievous theory; whether you choose to build on imagination, or fact; whether you prefer enjoyment, or hope; satisfaction in your subjects, or discontent?

110. If these propositions are accepted, everything which has been made to enforce a contrary system must, I take it for granted, fall along with it. On that ground, I have drawn the following Resolution, which, when it comes to be moved, will naturally be divided in a proper manner:

That it may be proper to repeal an Act made in the seventh year of the reign of his present Majesty, entitled, An Act for granting certain duties in the British Colonies and Plantations in America; for allowing a drawback<sup>217</sup> of the duties of customs upon the exportation from this Kingdom of coffee and cocoanuts of the produce of the said Colonies or Plantations; for dis-

## Speech on Conciliation with America

continuing the drawbacks payable on china earthenware exported to America; and for more effectually preventing the clandestine running of goods<sup>218</sup> in the said Colonies and Plantations. And that it may be proper to repeal an Act made in the fourteenth year of the reign of his present Majesty, entitled, An Act to discontinue, in such manner and for such time as are therein mentioned, the landing and discharging, lading or shipping of goods, wares, and merchandise at the town and within the harbor of Boston, in the Province of Massachusetts Bay, in North America. And that it may be proper to repeal an Act made in the fourteenth year of the reign of his present Majesty, entitled, An Act for the impartial administration of justice in the cases of persons questioned for any acts done by them in the execution of the law, or for the suppression of riots and tumults, in the Province of Massachusetts Bay, in New England. And that it may be proper to repeal an Act made in the fourteenth year of the reign of his present Majesty, entitled, An Act for the better regulating of the Government of the Province of Massachusetts Bay, in New England. And also that it may be proper to explain and amend an Act made in the thirty-fifth year of the reign of King Henry the Eighth, entitled, An Act for the Trial of Treasons committed out of the King's Dominions.

III. I wish, Sir, to repeal the Boston Port Bill,<sup>219</sup> because—independently of the dangerous precedent of suspending the rights of the subject during the King's pleasure—it was passed, as I apprehend, with less regularity and on more partial<sup>220</sup> principles than it ought. The corporation of Boston was not heard before it was condemned. Other towns, full as guilty as she was, have not had their ports blocked up. Even the Restraining Bill of the present session does not go to the length of the Boston Port Act. The same ideas of prudence which induced you not to extend equal punishment to equal guilt, even when you were punishing, induced me, who mean not to chastise, but to reconcile, to be satisfied with the punishment already partially inflicted.

II. Ideas of prudence and accommodation to circumstances prevent you from taking away the charters of Connecticut and Rhode Island, as you have taken away that of Massachusetts Bay, though the Crown has far less power in the two former provinces than it enjoyed in the latter,

## Speech on Conciliation with America

and though the abuses have been full as great, and as flagrant,<sup>221</sup> in the exempted as in the punished. The same reasons of prudence and accommodation have weight with me in restoring the Charter of Massachusetts Bay. Besides, Sir, the Act which changes the charter of Massachusetts is in many particulars so exceptionable<sup>222</sup> that if I did not wish absolutely to repeal, I would by all means desire to alter it, as several of its provisions tend to the subversion of all public and private justice. Such, among others, is the power in the Governor to change the sheriff at his pleasure, and to make a new returning officer for every special cause.<sup>223</sup> It is shameful to behold such a regulation standing among English laws.

113. The Act for bringing persons accused of committing murder, under the orders of Government to England for trial, is but temporary. That Act has calculated the probable duration of our quarrel with the Colonies, and is accommodated to that supposed duration. I would hasten the happy moment of reconciliation, and therefore must, on my principle, get rid of that most justly obnoxious Act.<sup>224</sup>

114. The Act of Henry the Eighth, for the Trial of Treasons, I do not mean to take away, but to confine it to its proper bounds and original intention; to make it expressly for trial of treasons—and the greatest treasons may be committed in places where the jurisdiction of the Crown does not extend.

115. Having guarded the privileges of local legislature, I would next secure to the Colonies a fair and unbiased judicature, for which purpose, Sir, I propose the following Resolution:

That, from the time when the General Assembly or General Court of any Colony or Plantation in North America shall have appointed by Act of Assembly, duly confirmed, a settled salary to the offices of the Chief Justice and other Judges of the Superior Court, it may be proper that the said Chief Justice and other Judges of the Superior Courts of such Colony shall hold his and their office and offices during their good behavior, and shall not

## Speech on Conciliation with America

be removed therefrom but when the said removal shall be adjudged by his Majesty in Council, upon a hearing on complaint from the General Assembly, or on a complaint from the Governor, or Council, or the House of Representatives severally, or of the Colony in which the said Chief Justice and other Judges have exercised the said offices.<sup>226</sup>

116. The next Resolution relates to the Courts of Admiralty. It is this:

That it may be proper to regulate the Courts of Admiralty or Vice-Admiralty authorized by the fifteenth Chapter of the Fourth of George the Third, in such a manner as to make the same more commodious<sup>226</sup> to those who sue, or are sued, in the said Courts, and to provide for the more decent maintenance of the Judges in the same.

117. These courts I do not wish to take away; they are in themselves proper establishments. This court is one of the capital securities of the Act of Navigation. The extent of its jurisdiction, indeed, has been increased, but this is altogether as proper, and is indeed on many accounts more eligible, where new powers were wanted, than a court absolutely new. But courts incommodiously situated, in effect, deny justice; and a court partaking in the fruits of its own condemnation is a robber.<sup>227</sup> The Congress complain, and complain justly, of this grievance.

118. These are the three consequential propositions. I have thought of two or three more, but they come rather too near detail, and to the province of executive<sup>228</sup> government, which I wish Parliament always to superintend, never to assume. If the first six are granted, congruity will carry the latter three. If not, the things that remain unrepealed will be, I hope, rather unseemly incumbrances on the building, than very materially detrimental to its strength and stability.

119. Here, Sir, I should close; but I plainly perceive some objections remain which I ought, if possible, to remove. The first will be that, in resorting to the doctrine of our ancestors, as contained in the preamble to the Chester Act, I prove too much; that the grievance from a want of repre-

## Speech on Conciliation with America

sensation, stated in that preamble, goes to the whole of legislation as well as to taxation; and that the Colonies, grounding themselves upon that doctrine, will apply it to all parts of legislative authority.

~~Now~~ To this objection, with all possible deference and humility, and wishing as little as any man living to impair the smallest particle of our supreme authority, I answer, that the words are the words of Parliament, and not mine, and that all false and inconclusive inferences drawn from them are not mine, for I heartily disclaim any such inference. I have chosen the words of an Act of Parliament which Mr. Grenville, surely a tolerably zealous and very judicious advocate for the sovereignty of Parliament, formerly moved to have read at your table in confirmation of his tenets. It is true that Lord Chatham considered these preambles as declaring strongly in favor of his opinions. He was a no less powerful advocate for the privileges of the Americans. Ought I not from hence to presume that these preambles are as favorable as possible to both,<sup>229</sup> when properly understood; favorable both to the rights of Parliament, and to the privilege of the dependencies of this Crown? But, Sir, the object of grievance in my Resolution I have not taken from the Chester, but from the Durham Act, which confines the hardship of want of representation to the case of subsidies, and which therefore falls in exactly with the case of the Colonies. But whether the unrepresented counties were *de jure* or *de facto* <sup>230</sup> bound, the preambles do not accurately distinguish, nor indeed was it necessary; for, whether *de jure* or *de facto*, the Legislature thought the exercise of the power of taxing as of right, or as of fact without right, equally a grievance, and equally oppressive.<sup>231</sup>

121 I do not know that the Colonies have, in any general way, or in any cool hour, gone much beyond the demand of immunity in relation to taxes. It is not fair to judge of the temper or dispositions of any man, or any set of men, when they are composed and at rest, from their conduct or

## Speech on Conciliation with America

their expressions in a state of disturbance and irritation. It is besides a very great mistake to imagine that mankind follow up practically any speculative principle, either of government or of freedom, as far as it will go in argument and logical illation.<sup>232</sup> We Englishmen stop very short of the principles upon which we support any given part of our Constitution, or even the whole of it together. I could easily, if I had not already tired you, give you very striking and convincing instances of it. This is nothing but what is natural and proper. All government, indeed every human benefit and enjoyment, every virtue, and every prudent act, is founded on compromise and barter. We balance inconveniences; we give and take; we remit some rights, that we may enjoy others; and we choose rather to be happy citizens than subtle disputants. As we must give away some natural liberty to enjoy civil advantages,<sup>233</sup> so we must sacrifice some civil liberties for the advantages to be derived from the communion and fellowship of a great empire. But, in all fair dealings, the thing bought must bear some proportion to the purchase paid. None will barter away the immediate jewel of his soul. Though a great house is apt to make slaves haughty, yet it is purchasing a part of the artificial importance of a great empire too dear to pay for it all essential rights and all the intrinsic dignity of human nature. None of us who would not risk his life rather than fall under a government purely arbitrary. But although there are some amongst us who think our Constitution wants many improvements to make it a complete system of liberty, perhaps none who are of that opinion would think it right to aim at such improvement by disturbing his country, and risking everything that is dear to him. In every arduous enterprise we consider what we are to lose, as well what we are to gain; and the more and better stake of liberty every people possess, the less they will hazard in a vain attempt to make it more. These are the cords<sup>234</sup> of man. Man acts from adequate motives relative to his interest, and not on metaphysical speculations.<sup>235</sup>

## Speech on Conciliation with America

Aristotle,<sup>236</sup> the great master of reasoning, cautions us, and with great weight and propriety, against this species of delusive geometrical accuracy in moral arguments as the most fallacious of all sophistry.<sup>237</sup>

122. The Americans will have no interest contrary to the grandeur and glory of England,<sup>238</sup> when they are not oppressed by the weight of it; and they will rather be inclined to respect the acts of a superintending legislature when they see them the acts of that power which is itself the security, not the rival, of their secondary importance. In this assurance my mind most perfectly acquiesces, and I confess I feel not the least alarm from the discontents which are to rise from putting people at their ease, nor do I apprehend the destruction of this Empire from giving, by an act of free grace and indulgence, to two millions of my fellow-citizens some share of those rights upon which I have always been taught to value myself.

123. It is said, indeed, that this power of granting, vested in American Assemblies, would dissolve the unity of the Empire, which was preserved entire, although Wales, and Chester, and Durham were added to it. Truly, Mr. Speaker, I do not know what this unity means, nor has it ever been heard of, that I know, in the constitutional policy of this country. The very idea of subordination of parts excludes this notion of simple and undivided unity. England is the head; but she is not the head and the members too. Ireland has ever had from the beginning a separate, but not an independent, legislature, which, far from distracting, promoted the union of the whole.<sup>239</sup> Everything was sweetly and harmoniously disposed through both islands for the conservation of English dominion, and the communication of English liberties. I do not see that the same principles might not be carried into twenty islands and with the same good effect.<sup>240</sup> This is my model with regard to America, as far as the internal circumstances of the two countries are the same. I know no other unity of this Empire than I can



## Speech on Conciliation with America

draw from its example during these periods, when it seemed to my poor understanding more united than it is now, or than it is likely to be by the present methods.

124. But since I speak of these methods, I recollect, Mr. Speaker, almost too late, that I promised, before I finished, to say something of the proposition of the noble lord on the floor, which has been so lately received and stands on your Journals.<sup>241</sup> I must be deeply concerned whenever it is my misfortune to continue a difference with the majority of this House; but, as the reasons for that difference are my apology for thus troubling you, suffer me to state them in a very few words. I shall compress them into as small a body as I possibly can, having already debated that matter at large when the question was before the Committee.

125. First, then, I cannot admit that proposition of a ransom by auction; because it is a mere project. It is a thing new, unheard of; supported by no experience; justified by no analogy; without example of our ancestors, or root in the Constitution. It is neither regular Parliamentary taxation, nor Colony grant. *Experimentum in corpore vili*<sup>242</sup> is a good rule, which will ever make me adverse to any trial of experiments on what is certainly the most valuable of all subjects, the peace of this Empire.

126. Secondly, it is an experiment which must be fatal in the end to our Constitution. For what is it but a scheme for taxing the Colonies in the ante-chamber of the noble lord and his successors? To settle the quotas and proportions in this House is clearly impossible.<sup>243</sup> You, Sir, may flatter yourself you shall sit a state auctioneer, with your hammer in your hand, and knock down to each Colony as it bids. But to settle, on the plan laid down by the noble lord, the true proportional payment for four or five and twenty governments according to the absolute and the relative wealth of each, and according to the British proportion of wealth and burthen, is a wild and chimerical<sup>244</sup> notion. This new taxation must therefore come in by the back door of the

## Speech on Conciliation with America

Constitution. Each quota must be brought to this House ready formed; you can neither add nor alter. You must register it. You can do nothing further; for on what grounds can you deliberate either before or after the proposition? You cannot hear the counsel for all these provinces, quarrelling each on its own quantity of payment, and its proportion to others. If you should attempt it, the Committee of Provincial Ways and Means, or by whatever other name it will delight to be called, must swallow up all the time of Parliament.<sup>245</sup>

127. Thirdly, it does not give satisfaction to the complaint of the Colonies. They complain that they are taxed without their consent; you answer, that you will fix the sum at which they shall be taxed. That is, you give them the very grievance for the remedy. You tell them, indeed, that you will leave the mode to themselves. I really beg pardon—it gives me pain to mention it—but you must be sensible that you will not perform this part of the compact. For, suppose the Colonies were to lay the duties, which furnished their contingent, upon the importation of your manufacturers, you know you would never suffer such a tax to be laid. You know, too, that you would not suffer many other modes of taxation; so that, when you come to explain yourself, it will be found that you will neither leave to themselves the quantum<sup>246</sup> nor the mode, nor indeed anything. The whole is delusion from one end to the other.

128. Fourthly, this method of ransom by auction, unless it be universally<sup>247</sup> accepted, will plunge you into great and inextricable difficulties. In what year of our Lord are the proportions of payments to be settled? To say nothing of the impossibility that Colony agents should have general powers of taxing the Colonies at their discretion, consider, I implore you, that the communication by special messages and orders between these agents and their constituents, on each variation of the case, when the parties come to contend together and to dispute on their relative proportions, will

## Speech on Conciliation with America

be a matter of delay, perplexity, and confusion that never can have an end.

129. If all the Colonies do not appear at the outcry,<sup>248</sup> what is the condition of those assemblies who offer, by themselves or their agents, to tax themselves up to your ideas of their proportion? The refractory Colonies who refuse all composition<sup>249</sup> will remain taxed only to your old impositions, which, however grievous in principle, are trifling as to production. The obedient Colonies in this scheme are heavily taxed; the refractory remain unburdened. What will you do? Will you lay new and heavier taxes by Parliament on the disobedient? Pray consider in what way you can do it. You are perfectly convinced that, in the way of taxing, you can do nothing but at the ports.<sup>250</sup> Now suppose it is Virginia that refuses to appear at your auction, while Maryland and North Carolina bid handsomely for their ransom, and are taxed to your quota, how will you put these Colonies on a par? Will you tax the tobacco of Virginia? If you do, you give its death-wound to your English revenue at home, and to one of the very greatest articles of your own foreign trade. If you tax the import of that rebellious Colony, what do you tax but your own manufactures, or the goods of some other obedient and already well-taxed Colony? Who has said one word on this labyrinth<sup>251</sup> of detail, which bewilders you more and more as you enter into it? Who has presented, who can present you with a clue to lead you out of it? I think, Sir, it is impossible that you should not recollect that the Colony bounds are so implicated<sup>252</sup> in one another—you know it by your other experiments in the bill for prohibiting the New England fishery,—that you can lay no possible restraints on almost any of them which may not be presently<sup>253</sup> eluded, if you do not confound the innocent with the guilty, and burthen those whom, upon every principle, you ought to exonerate.<sup>254</sup> He must be grossly ignorant of America who thinks that, without falling into this confusion of all rules of equity and policy, you can restrain any single

## Speech on Conciliation with America

Colony, especially Virginia and Maryland, the central and most important of them all.

130. Let it also be considered that, either in the present confusion you settle a permanent contingent, which will and must be trifling, and then you have no effectual revenue; or you change the quota at every exigency, and then on every new repartition you will have a new quarrel.

131. Reflect, besides, that when you have fixed a quota for every Colony, you have not provided for prompt and punctual payment. Suppose one, two, five, ten years' arrears. You cannot issue a Treasury Extent <sup>255</sup> against the failing Colony. You must make new Boston Port Bills, new restraining laws, new acts for dragging men to England for trial. You must send out new fleets, new armies. All is to begin again. From this day forward the Empire is never to know an hour's tranquillity. An intestine fire will be kept alive in the bowels of the Colonies, which one time or other must consume this whole Empire. I allow indeed that the empire of Germany raises her revenue and her troops by quotas and contingents; but the revenue of the empire, and the army of the empire, is the worst revenue and the worst army in the world.<sup>256</sup>

132. Instead of a standing revenue, you will therefore have a perpetual quarrel. Indeed, the noble lord who proposed this project of a ransom by auction seems himself to be of that opinion. His project was rather designed for breaking the union of the Colonies than for establishing a revenue. He confessed he apprehended that his proposal would not be to their taste. I say this scheme of disunion seems to be at the bottom of the project; for I will not suspect that the noble lord meant nothing but merely to delude the nation by an airy phantom which he never intended to realize. But whatever his views may be, as I propose the peace and union of the Colonies as the very foundation of my plan, it cannot accord with one whose foundation is perpetual discord.

## Speech on Conciliation with America

133

~~133.~~ Compare the two. This I offer to give you is plain and simple. The other full of perplexed and intricate mazes. This is mild; that, harsh. This is found by experience effectual for its purposes; the other is a new project. This is universal; the other calculated for certain Colonies only. This is immediate in its conciliatory operation; the other remote, contingent, full of hazard. Mine is what becomes the dignity of a ruling people—gratuitous, unconditional, and not held out as a matter of bargain and sale. I have done my duty in proposing it to you. I have indeed tired you by a long discourse; but this is the misfortune of those to whose influence nothing will be conceded, and who must win every inch of their ground by argument. You have heard me with goodness. May you decide with wisdom! For my part, I feel my mind greatly disburthened by what I have done to-day. I have been the less fearful of trying your patience, because on this subject I mean to spare it altogether in future. I have this comfort, that in every stage of the American affairs I have steadily opposed the measures that have produced the confusion, and may bring on the destruction, of this Empire. I now go so far as to risk a proposal of my own. If I cannot give peace to my country, I give it to my conscience.

*different time  
of day  
24-25 June*

134. But what, says the financier, is peace to us without money? Your plan gives us no revenue. No! But it does; for it secures to the subject the power of refusal, the first of all revenues.<sup>257</sup> Experience is a cheat, and fact a liar, if this power in the subject of proportioning his grant, or of not granting at all, has not been found the richest mine of revenue ever discovered by the skill or by the fortune of man. It does not indeed vote you 152,750*l.* 11*s.* 2¾*d.*, nor any other paltry limited sum; but it gives the strong box itself, the fund, the bank—from whence only revenues can arise amongst a people sensible of freedom. *Posita luditur arca.*<sup>258</sup> Cannot you, in England—cannot you, at this time of day—cannot you, a House of Commons, trust to the principle which

## Speech on Conciliation with America

has raised so mighty a revenue, and accumulated a debt of nearly 140,000,00 in this country? Is this principle to be true in England, and false everywhere else? Is it not true in Ireland? Has it not hitherto been true in the Colonies? Why should you presume that, in any country, a body duly constituted for any function will neglect to perform its duty and abdicate its trust? Such a presumption would go against all governments in all modes. But, in truth, this dread of penury of supply from a free assembly has no foundation in nature; for first, observe that, besides the desire which all men have naturally of supporting the honor of their own government, that sense of dignity and that security to property which ever attends freedom has a tendency to increase the stock of the free community. Most may be taken where most is accumulated. And what is the soil or climate where experience has not uniformly proved that the voluntary flow of heaped-up plenty, bursting from the weight of its own rich luxuriance, has ever run with a more copious stream of revenue than could be squeezed from the dry husks of oppressed indigence by the straining of all the politic machinery in the world?

135. Next, we know that parties must ever exist in a free country. We know, too, that the emulations of such parties—their contradictions, their reciprocal necessities, their hopes, and their fears—must send them all in their turns to him that holds the balance of the State. The parties are the gamblers; but Government keeps the table, and is sure to be the winner in the end. When this game is played, I really think it is more to be feared that the people will be exhausted, than that government will not be supplied; whereas, whatever is got by acts of absolute power ill obeyed, because odious, or by contracts ill kept, because constrained, will be narrow, feeble, uncertain, and precarious.

Ease would retract  
Vows made in pain, as violent and void.<sup>259</sup>

## Speech on Conciliation with America

136. I, for one protest against compounding<sup>260</sup> our demands. I declare against compounding for a poor limited sum, the immense, ever-growing, eternal debt which is due to generous government from protected freedom. And so may I speed in the great object I propose to you, as I think it would not only be an act of injustice, but would be the worst economy in the world, to compel the Colonies to a sum certain, either in the way of ransom or in the way of compulsory compact.

136. But to clear up my ideas on this subject: a revenue from America transmitted hither—do not delude yourselves—you never can receive it; no, not a shilling. We have experience that from remote countries it is not to be expected. If, when you attempted to extract revenue from Bengal, you were obliged to return in loan what you had taken in imposition, what can you expect from North America? For certainly, if ever there was a country qualified to produce wealth, it is India; or an institution fit for the transmission, it is the East India Company. America has none of these aptitudes.<sup>261</sup> If America gives you taxable objects on which you lay your duties here, and gives you, at the same time, a surplus by a foreign sale of her commodities to pay the duties on these objects which you tax at home, she has performed her part to the British revenue. But with regard to her own internal establishments, she may, I doubt not she will, contribute in moderation. I say in moderation, for she ought not to be permitted to exhaust herself. She ought to be reserved to a war, the weight of which, with the enemies that we are most likely to have,<sup>262</sup> must be considerable in her quarter of the globe. There she may serve you, and serve you essentially.

137. For that service—for all service, whether of revenue, trade, or empire—my trust is in her interest in the British Constitution. My hold of the Colonies is in the close affection which grows from common names, from kindred blood, from similar privileges, and equal protection. These are ties

## Speech on Conciliation with America

which, though light as air, are as strong as links of iron. Let the Colonists always keep the idea of their civil rights associated with your government,—they will cling and grapple to you, and no force under heaven will be of power to tear them from their allegiance. But let it be once understood that your government may be one thing, and their privileges another, that these two things may exist without any mutual relation, the cement is gone—the cohesion is loosened—and everything hastens to decay and dissolution. As long as you have the wisdom to keep the sovereign authority of this country as the sanctuary of liberty, the sacred temple consecrated to our common faith, wherever the chosen race and sons of England worship freedom, they will turn their faces towards you. The more they multiply, the more friends you will have; the more ardently they love liberty, the more perfect will be their obedience.<sup>263</sup> Slavery they can have anywhere—it is a weed<sup>264</sup> that grows in every soil. They may have it from Spain; they may have it from Prussia.<sup>265</sup> But until you become lost to all feeling of your true interest and your natural dignity, freedom they can have from none but you. This is the commodity of price<sup>266</sup> of which you have the monopoly. This is the true Act of Navigation which binds to you the commerce of the Colonies, and through them secures to you the wealth of the world. Deny them this participation of freedom, and you break that sole bond which originally made, and must still preserve, the unity of the Empire. Do not entertain so weak an imagination as that your registers and your bonds, your affidavits and your sufferances, your cockets<sup>267</sup> and your clearances, are what form the great securities of your commerce. Do not dream that your letters of office, and your instructions, and your suspending clauses, are the things that hold together the great contexture of the mysterious whole. These things do not make your government. Dead instruments, passive tools as they are, it is the spirit of the English communion that gives all their life and efficacy to them. It is the spirit



## Speech on Conciliation with America

of the English Constitution which, infused through the mighty mass, pervades, feeds, unites, invigorates, vivifies every part of the Empire, even down to the minutest member.

138. Is it not the same virtue which does everything for us here in England? Do you imagine, then, that it is the Land Tax Act which raises your revenue? that it is the annual vote in the Committee of Supply which gives you your army? or that it is the Mutiny Bill which inspires it with bravery and discipline? No! surely no! It is the love of the people; it is their attachment to their government, from the sense of the deep stake they have in such a glorious institution, which gives you your army and your navy, and infuses into both that liberal obedience without which your army would be a base rabble, and your navy nothing but rotten timber.

139. All this, I know well enough, will sound wild and chimerical to the profane herd of those vulgar and mechanical politicians who have no place among us; a sort of people who think that nothing exists but what is gross and material, and who, therefore, far from being qualified to be directors of the great movement of empire, are not fit to turn a wheel in the machine. But to men truly initiated and rightly taught, these ruling and master principles which, in the opinion of such men as I have mentioned, have no substantial existence, are in truth everything, and all in all. Magnanimity in politics is not seldom the truest wisdom; and a great empire and little minds go ill together. If we are conscious of our station, and glow with zeal to fill our places as becomes our situation and ourselves, we ought to auspicate all our public proceedings on America with the old warning of the church, *Sursum corda!*<sup>268</sup> We ought to elevate our minds to the greatness of that trust to which the order of Providence has called us. By adverting to the dignity of this high calling our ancestors have turned a savage wilderness into a glorious empire, and have made the most extensive and the only honorable conquests—not by destroying, but by promoting the

## Speech on Conciliation with America

wealth, the number, the happiness, of the human race. Let us get an American revenue as we have got an American empire. English privileges have made it all that it is; English privileges alone will make it all it can be.

14○ In full confidence of this unalterable truth, I now, *quod felix faustumque sit*,<sup>269</sup> lay the first stone of the Temple of Peace; and I move you—

That the Colonies and Plantations of Great Britain in North America, consisting of fourteen separate governments, and containing two millions and upwards of free inhabitants, have not had the liberty and privilege of electing and sending any Knights and Burgesses, or others, to represent them in the High Court of Parliament.<sup>270</sup>

## NOTES AND QUERIES

- <sup>1</sup> SIR. Burke addresses the Speaker of the House.
- <sup>2</sup> AUSTERITY. From Latin *Auster*, the "west wind." Hence, "severe dignity."
- <sup>3</sup> HUMAN FRAILTY. Can you find an antithesis in this sentence?
- <sup>4</sup> DEPENDING. Hanging. Hence, "undecided."
- <sup>5</sup> EVENT. Outcome. From the Latin *ex*, "out of" and *venio*, "come."
- <sup>6</sup> GRAND PENAL BILL. A bill forbidding Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Connecticut, and Rhode Island to trade with any country except England and abolishing their right to fish on the Banks of Newfoundland. On March 8 it passed 215 to 61. 22.19
- <sup>7</sup> OTHER HOUSE. What body is alluded to?
- <sup>8</sup> COERCION AND RESTRAINT. To coerce means to force a person to act. To restrain means to keep a person from acting. What figure of speech?
- <sup>9</sup> AWFUL. Burke uses the word here in its exact sense, calculated to inspire awe.
- <sup>10</sup> When did Burke first sit in the House of Commons?
- <sup>11</sup> Was Burke a well-informed man? Look for the answer to this question in the introduction.
- <sup>12</sup> FLUCTUATION. From the Latin *fluctus*, "wave." This word therefore contains a metaphor. Burke compares the American situation to a sea. Find two other words which continue this metaphor.
- <sup>13</sup> Point out a figure of speech in this sentence.
- <sup>14</sup> In this sentence Burke diplomatically contrasts his own fine adherence to principle with the weak and selfish conduct of Parliament.
- <sup>15</sup> What comparison is implied by the use of the words "complaint" and "distemper"?
- <sup>16</sup> The student will realize the condition of America to which Burke alludes if he will remember that this speech was delivered March 22, 1775, and that the battle of Lexington was fought April 19, 1775. (See Life of Burke, pp. 17-20.)
- <sup>17</sup> A WORTHY MEMBER. Burke refers to Mr. Rose Fuller.
- <sup>18</sup> OUR. Burke means the methods of the Whig party.
- <sup>19</sup> LONG AND UNSUCCESSFUL OPPOSITION. The Whigs had been in opposition, that is, in the minority, nine years.

## Notes and Queries

- <sup>20</sup> MINISTERIAL MEASURES. The measures of the Tory majority.
- <sup>21</sup> Find an antithesis in this sentence.
- <sup>22</sup> Point out the metaphor.
- <sup>23</sup> PARLIAMENTARY FORM. Burke means that he made the rough outline of the "Speech on Conciliation" in a form suitable for delivery in Parliament.
- <sup>24</sup> ARGUES. Indicates.
- <sup>25</sup> SEAT OF AUTHORITY. An official position.
- <sup>26</sup> DISREPUTABLY. With loss of reputation.
- <sup>27</sup> PAPER GOVERNMENT. Theoretical government. Hatred of theory was one of Burke's most noteworthy characteristics.
- <sup>28</sup> Almost every paragraph in this speech contains one or more sentences which have become political maxims. There are two in this paragraph. Try to decide which they are and do the same for each succeeding paragraph.
- <sup>29</sup> Point out the antithesis in this sentence.
- <sup>30</sup> Point out the figure of speech.
- <sup>31</sup> DESTITUTE OF ALL SHADOW OF INFLUENCE. Burke had no influence with the ministers of George III, because he acted from principle while they were bought.
- <sup>32</sup> FOMENTED. Kindled. From the Latin, *fomes*, "kindling."
- <sup>33</sup> JURIDICAL. Legal.
- <sup>34</sup> This sentence is an artful description of Lord North's so-called scheme of conciliation, which he had previously introduced into the House.
- <sup>35</sup> Notice how often in this paragraph Burke repeats the word peace.
- <sup>36</sup> REFINED. Tricky.
- <sup>37</sup> NOBLE LORD IN THE BLUE RIBBON. Lord North. North was a younger son, and hence could not sit in the House of Lords.
- <sup>38</sup> Lord North's scheme was to remit the duties of those colonies who agreed to vote money for the common defense and the civil government. It was introduced February 10, 1775.
- <sup>39</sup> In what respects did Burke's scheme differ from Lord North's? In what respect were the two schemes alike?
- <sup>40</sup> CAPITAL means fundamental.
- <sup>41</sup> EXCEPTIONABLE. Open to exception or criticism.
- <sup>42</sup> I MAKE NO DIFFICULTY. I do not hesitate or argue against.
- <sup>43</sup> OTHERS. Slaves.
- <sup>44</sup> Note the fine antithesis.
- <sup>45</sup> In this sentence we have another description of Lord North's plan for conciliation.
- <sup>46</sup> MINIMA. Trifles or non-essentials.
- <sup>47</sup> WITH IMPUNITY. Without punishment.

## Notes and Queries

- <sup>48</sup> A DISTINGUISHED PERSON. Burke refers to Richard Glover (1712-1785). Glover was at once a merchant prince, a publicist, and a poet—Marshall Field, Elihu Root, and Alfred Noyes rolled into one. His chief poems are *Leonidas*, an epic, and *Admiral Hosier's Ghost*, a ballad. (See Chambers' *Cyclopædia of English Literature*.)
- <sup>49</sup> ERUDITION. Learning.
- <sup>50</sup> STATE. Statement.
- <sup>51</sup> What was the entire amount of the export of England in 1704? In 1772? What was the amount of the colony trade in 1704? In 1772? How did the colony trade in 1772 compare with the whole trade in 1704?
- <sup>52</sup> Note the antithesis between the past and the present on the one hand, and the future on the other.
- <sup>53</sup> *Acta parentum iam legere . . . virtus*. He was already old enough to read the deeds of his parents and to understand what virtue is.
- <sup>54</sup> AUSPICIOUS. Promising.
- <sup>55</sup> FOURTH GENERATION. George I., the first Prince of the House of Brunswick, reigned 1714-1728; George II., the second, 1728-1760; George III., the third, 1760-1820. George III. was the grandson of George II.
- <sup>56</sup> TURN BACK THE CURRENT OF HEREDITARY DIGNITY TO ITS FOUNTAIN. The son of Lord Bathurst got his father raised from the rank of Baron to that of Earl.
- <sup>57</sup> Note the contrast which Burke draws between the growth of America and England. What would he say if he were now alive?
- <sup>58</sup> SANGUINE. Hopeful. Sanguine comes from Latin *sanguis*, "blood." To be full of red blood, as we say to-day, is to be hopeful. Sanguinary means bloody and has reference to the spilling of blood.
- <sup>59</sup> Note the contrast in the last two sentences. Note also the contrast between the preceding burst of eloquence and the cold commercial figures which Burke gave before and to which he now returns.
- <sup>60</sup> CURIOUS. Worthy of careful inquiry.
- <sup>61</sup> Could there be a finer description of what America did for England in the war from 1914-1918?
- <sup>62</sup> ANTIPODES. From the Greek *anti*, "against," and *podes*, "feet." Note the antithesis.
- <sup>63</sup> FALKLAND ISLANDS. Are the Falkland Islands connected with any event in the great war of 1914-1918?
- <sup>64</sup> Note the antithesis.

## Notes and Queries

- <sup>65</sup> In other words, the American whale fishery covers the whole Atlantic, north, south, east, and west.
- <sup>66</sup> It is nearly a century and a half since Burke wrote this paragraph, but probably no more generous or accurate tribute has since been paid to the American character.
- <sup>67</sup> Gross is contrasted with detail.
- <sup>68</sup> Gentlemen means members of the house.
- <sup>69</sup> COMPLEXIONS. Temperament.
- <sup>70</sup> MILITARY ART. This phrase may refer to General Burgoyne, who later surrendered at Saratoga, but who at this time was a member of the House of Commons.
- <sup>71</sup> The reader will observe that Burke's remarks about force have been confirmed by the experience of Germany 1914-1918.
- <sup>72</sup> The first consideration is the population of America; the second its commerce.
- <sup>73</sup> A LOVE OF FREEDOM. Is this still a predominating mark that distinguishes the Americans?
- <sup>74</sup> RESTIVE. Restless.
- <sup>75</sup> CHICANE. Trickery.
- <sup>76</sup> Burke alludes to the period between 1620 and 1640. During this period many Englishmen went to America to escape the tyranny of the Stuart kings.
- <sup>77</sup> Liberty is embodied in some definite form.
- <sup>78</sup> BLIND USAGES. Customs of which the origin is unknown.
- <sup>79</sup> MEDIATELY. Indirectly.
- <sup>80</sup> Upon what questions did contests for liberty turn in Greece and Rome; in England?
- <sup>81</sup> It is not easy to make a rule that will not work both ways. In other words, the principles that apply in England apply equally well in America.
- <sup>82</sup> MERELY POPULAR. An allusion to the New England town meetings in which the people voted directly instead of through representatives.
- <sup>83</sup> IMPLICIT. From the Latin *in* and *plica*, "fold." Therefore, infolded, implied, or taken for granted.
- <sup>84</sup> TENETS. From the Latin *teneo*, "hold." Therefore the beliefs they hold.
- <sup>85</sup> COEVAL. Of the same age.
- <sup>86</sup> DISSENT. That is dissent from authority without.
- <sup>87</sup> In a general way it may be said that the Catholic religion is based on the proposition that all men must believe the same things; the Church of England on the proposition that all Englishmen must believe the same things; the Presbyterian on the proposition that the Elders shall decide what

## Notes and Queries

the members of the church are to believe; the Congregational on the proposition that each congregation shall settle its points of faith; the Unitarian on the proposition that each individual shall determine for himself.

<sup>88</sup> ESTABLISHMENTS. The established or state religions.

<sup>89</sup> LATITUDE. Breadth.

<sup>90</sup> What fact made the Northern colonies love liberty? The Southern?

<sup>91</sup> PLANTATIONS. Burke means the colonies.

<sup>92</sup> Blackstone's Commentaries on the Common Law of England is the first textbook studied by law students.

<sup>93</sup> GENERAL GAGE. Where was General Gage at this time?

<sup>94</sup> CAPITAL PENAL CONSTITUTIONS. Chief penal laws.

<sup>95</sup> MY HONORABLE AND LEARNED FRIEND, Lord Thurlow, who in so doing violated one of the unwritten laws of the House of Commons.

<sup>96</sup> ANIMADVERSION. Unfavorable comment.

<sup>97</sup> EMOLUMENTS. Rewards.

<sup>98</sup> FORMIDABLE from the Latin *formido*, "fear." Hence, "to be feared."

<sup>99</sup> HAPPY. Wise and satisfactory.

<sup>100</sup> LITIGIOUS. Fond of going to law.

<sup>101</sup> "Studies develop into habits."

<sup>102</sup> MERCURIAL. Quick, like the God Mercury.

<sup>103</sup> To what animal does Burke here liken the Americans?

<sup>104</sup> Is this true to-day?

<sup>105</sup> POUNCES. Claws.

<sup>106</sup> CIRCULATION. Note how Burke compares circulation of power to the circulation of the blood.

<sup>107</sup> Which is further away from Constantinople—Algiers or Smyrna?

<sup>108</sup> TRUCK AND HUCKSTER. To beg for favor like a peddler.

<sup>109</sup> TIMES. Opportunities.

<sup>110</sup> Observe that in this paragraph Burke sums up his exposition of the moral causes of the American spirit, and by so doing clears the way for what is to follow. In the next paragraph he proceeds to make clear the exact question which he wishes to discuss. Read the paragraph and then tell what that question is.

<sup>111</sup> MINORITY. Explain the meaning of the word.

<sup>112</sup> UNTRACTABLE. Unmanageable. The history of the next seven years proves this sentence to have been prophetic.

<sup>113</sup> EMANATION. From the Latin *ex*, "from," and *mano*, "to drip."

## Notes and Queries

- <sup>114</sup> The student will recall that the colony governments were based on charters issued by the king.
- <sup>115</sup> OPEROSE. From the Latin *opus*, "work," and *osus*. "full of." Hence difficult.
- <sup>116</sup> HUMORS. Passions.
- <sup>117</sup> Analyze the metaphor in the words *passage* and *channel*.
- <sup>118</sup> Note the contrast between *evident* and *tacit*.
- <sup>119</sup> WHOLLY ABROGATED. May 11, 1774. The lower house of the Massachusetts legislature, or General Assembly, was not touched; but the upper house, or Council, which had previously been elected by the Assembly, was to be appointed by the king. All executive and judicial appointments ceased to emanate from the people and no meetings could be held except by permission of the governor.
- <sup>120</sup> ANARCHY. From the Greek *a*, "not" and *archē*, "law." Therefore, a state of being without law.
- <sup>121</sup> TOLERABLE. Endurable.
- <sup>122</sup> Burke in common with most clear thinkers believed that law and order, necessary as they are, rest upon acquired human habits, and that there is always a danger that primitive human instincts will break out and overthrow those habits.
- <sup>123</sup> Does Burke favor granting independence to the colonies?
- <sup>124</sup> RADICAL. From the Latin *radix*, "root." It goes to the root of the matter.
- <sup>125</sup> MONOPOLISTS. From the Greek *monos*, "single," and *polis*, "city." Hence, a monopolist is a man who owns all of any one thing in a city or a state. What is a "monarchy"? A "monotone"?
- <sup>126</sup> In what sense does Burke use the word "deserts"?
- <sup>127</sup> TARTARS. Nomads or wanderers.
- <sup>128</sup> Do you think the power of the colonies to resist British violence was very formidable?
- <sup>129</sup> EXPLODED. The idea that tyrants can beggar their subjects into submission is compared to a shell that has blown up.
- <sup>130</sup> SPOLIATIS ARMA SUPERSUNT. To the robbed arms remain.
- <sup>131</sup> DRAGOONING means governing by means of force.
- <sup>132</sup> CHARGEABLE. Expensive.
- <sup>133</sup> ENFRANCHISEMENT. Freeing.
- <sup>134</sup> PANEGYRISTS. Praisers.
- <sup>135</sup> AUSPICIOUS. Promising. Burke is here ironical. Find some other passages where Burke has used irony.
- <sup>136</sup> In this paragraph we have a fine illustration of Burke's power of humor and skillful use of antithesis.
- <sup>137</sup> OVERT. Open.



## Notes and Queries

- <sup>139</sup> **PEDANTIC.** From Greek *pais* = boy + *ago* = to lead. A pedagogue is therefore a leader of boys, and pedantic means characteristic of a pedagogue, *i.e.*, learned, over-refined, unpractical.
- <sup>140</sup> *Sir Edward Coke.* Thou art the most vile and execrable traitor that ever lived. *Sir Walter Raleigh.* You speak indiscreetly, barbarously, and uncivilly. *Sir Edward Coke.* I want words sufficient to express thy viperous treasons.
- <sup>140</sup> Explain the difference between privilege and immunity. A dictionary will help.
- <sup>141</sup> **NICE.** Sharply drawn.
- <sup>142</sup> **EX VI TERMINI.** From the meaning of the word.
- <sup>143</sup> **TANTAMOUNT.** Equal.
- <sup>144</sup> In this sentence we have an instance of paradox or oxymoron. *Oxy*, "sharp," *moron*, "foolishness." Hence, an oxymoron is a statement which on its face is absurd but is really true. Burke has already used this device several times in this speech. Can you find where he has done it?
- <sup>145</sup> Explain why Burke thinks that it is impossible to proceed against the American spirit as criminal.
- <sup>146</sup> **EXPEDIENT.** Wise.
- <sup>147</sup> **ADDRESSED.** Asked.
- <sup>148</sup> **APPREHENSION.** Arrest.
- <sup>149</sup> What is the difference between judicial and juridical?
- <sup>150</sup> What does Burke intend to say about the right of taxation?
- <sup>151</sup> **POLICY.** Wisdom or expediency.
- <sup>152</sup> **POLITY.** Government.
- <sup>153</sup> **MILITATE.** Fight.
- <sup>154</sup> Milton's "Paradise Lost," Book II, Lines 592-594.
- <sup>155</sup> Note how Burke contrasts right and interest; miserable and happy; may and ought; lawyer and humanity.
- <sup>156</sup> **AMERICAN FINANCIERS** means members of Parliament who expect to get a revenue from the colonies.
- <sup>157</sup> **TRADE LAWS.** The various acts whereby Parliament had tried to limit America's trade to England. They were so successful that about 90 per cent. of the tea consumed in the colonies was smuggled in from other countries.
- <sup>158</sup> These questions are all what is known as rhetorical questions. What answer is expected? Would it not be more effective to put them in the form of declarative sentences?
- <sup>159</sup> **KINGS OF SPAIN OF THE AUSTRIAN FAMILY.** Philip II (1556-1598), Philip III (1598-1621), Philip IV (1621-1665), and Charles II (1665-1700). Philip II was a tyrant and in

## Notes and Queries

contrast to Burke's ideas of freedom. He married Bloody Mary, tried to frighten her sister Elizabeth, and lost the Spanish Armada.

<sup>160</sup> ORACLE. A Greek oracle was a religious institution from which a priestess prophesied with infallible authority.

<sup>161</sup> Ireland was conquered by the English in 1169.

<sup>162</sup> MAGNA CHARTA. The great charter was wrung from King John by the English Barons in 1215.

<sup>163</sup> To what is Magna Charta compared in this sentence?

<sup>164</sup> SIR JOHN DAVIS in 1612 published a book called Discoveries of the True Causes Why Ireland was never entirely subdued nor brought under Obedience of the Crown of England until the beginning of his Majesty's happy Reign. He was a poet of some note, and Attorney General for Ireland 1606-1619.

<sup>165</sup> CIVILITY. Civilization.

<sup>166</sup> YOU DEPOSED KINGS. "*You*" refers to the English Parliament. The events referred to in this sentence are as follows: 1. 1649. Charles I. deposed. 2. 1660. Charles II. restored. 3. 1688. James II. deposed and the succession altered. 4. The Usurper Cromwell ruled 1649-1658. The Stuart kings were restored in 1660. In 1688 the Stuarts were finally deposed.

<sup>167</sup> LUCRATIVE. Profitable.

<sup>168</sup> This description of Ireland, while it appears to be untrue, applies, it must be remembered, to the eighteenth century and not to the nineteenth. In the eighteenth century Ireland had a Parliament of its own and a population of eight millions. In 1807 its Parliament was taken away and during the nineteenth century its population decreased to four millions.

<sup>169</sup> HENRY THE THIRD—1216-1272. EDWARD THE FIRST—1272-1307.

<sup>170</sup> COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF. An allusion to our old friend General Gage.

<sup>171</sup> Explain the difference between composed and pacified; incursion and invasion.

<sup>172</sup> Why is proclamation not as legal as statute?

<sup>173</sup> RID. Rode upon England like a living curse.

<sup>174</sup> POVERTY TO RAPINE. Note the oxymoron.

<sup>175</sup> ILL-HUSBANDRY. Poor economy.

<sup>176</sup> "As soon as that star of hope shone on those sailors, the angry surge ceased to beat upon the rocks; the winds fell, the clouds fled, and the threatening wave (because they (Parliament) thus decreed) slept upon the deep."

## Notes and Queries

- <sup>177</sup> A County Palatine was a county ruled by the palace or by royal authority. Find Chester on the map.
- <sup>178</sup> Richard the Second reigned 1377-1396.
- <sup>179</sup> DISHERSIONS means disinheritances.
- <sup>180</sup> State the meaning of this address in your own words.
- <sup>181</sup> TEMPERAMENT. Modification or toning down.
- <sup>182</sup> "Like cures like" is a principle used by physicians in vaccination and proposed by Burke for curing political evils. Has the principle any importance to-day?
- <sup>183</sup> Charles the Second reigned 1660-1685.
- <sup>184</sup> Find Durham on the map.
- <sup>185</sup> PALE. Fence.
- <sup>186</sup> EQUITY. Justice.
- <sup>187</sup> VIRTUALLY REPRESENTED. For example, if New Hampshire, Massachusetts, and New York have representatives in Congress, while Vermont has none, Vermont would be virtually represented.
- <sup>188</sup> In this powerful paragraph Burke uses what is called an argument *a fortiori*. That is, he argues from a stronger case to a weaker.
- <sup>189</sup> A GREAT FLOOD. The Atlantic Ocean.
- <sup>190</sup> "NATURE HAS OPPOSED." Juvenal, Satire X, line 152.
- <sup>191</sup> Would such representation be possible to-day?
- <sup>192</sup> How many representatives does Burke propose that the Colony of New York shall send to the British Parliament?
- <sup>193</sup> PLATO (427-347 B.C.), a Greek, wrote a book called the Republic, in which he pictured an ideal Commonwealth. Sir Thomas More (1478-1535), an Englishman, did the same thing in his "Utopia." Utopia comes from the Greek *ou*, "not," *topos*, "place." Hence it means "no place." Harrington's "Oceana" is a less famous and less interesting attempt of the same kind.
- <sup>194</sup> From Milton's Comus, lines 634-635.
- <sup>195</sup> In 1763 Mr. Grenville, one of the tools of George III, began the policy of taxation which resulted in the Declaration of Independence thirteen years later.
- <sup>196</sup> IMPOSITION. Burke wishes America to be asked to grant taxes or refuse to grant them as America sees fit, but desires Parliament to promise specifically not to impose any further taxes on America.
- <sup>197</sup> COROLLARY TO. Dependent on or resulting from.
- <sup>198</sup> Here we have a fine metaphor. Picture to yourselves a Roman temple supported by six pillars, each pillar representing one of Burke's resolutions.

## Notes and Queries

- <sup>199</sup> **FOURTEEN.** Why not thirteen?
- <sup>200</sup> What does Burke mean by the description?
- <sup>201</sup> **NEARLY VERBATIM.** From what act does Burke get his language?
- <sup>202</sup> "This is not my language, but what I was taught by Ofellus who, though a plain farmer, was abundantly wise."
- <sup>203</sup> Burke compares the language of the Chester petition, which he uses here, to a highly prized suit of ancient armor.
- <sup>204</sup> **INGENUOUS.** Explain the difference between **INGENUOUS** and **INGENIOUS**.
- <sup>205</sup> Burke's genius was fundamentally conservative.
- <sup>206</sup> **RESOLVED ALWAYS TO BE IN THE RIGHT.** Resolved never to confess that they have been wrong.
- <sup>207</sup> Note the contrast between little and all; property and freedom.
- <sup>208</sup> **CAPITAL.** Chief. What is the chief outrage?
- <sup>209</sup> **COMPETENCE.** Power.
- <sup>210</sup> This means that the English Government has accepted grants of money from the colonial assemblies for over a century.
- <sup>211</sup> So **HIGH.** So long ago.
- <sup>212</sup> **SATIETY.** More than was required.
- <sup>213</sup> Will put an end to lies by which the English people have been stirred up to believe that Lord North's policy towards the Colonies has been right.
- <sup>214</sup> **STATE.** Statement.
- <sup>215</sup> How much revenue by grant did the Colonies furnish? How much by imposition?
- <sup>216</sup> **EXIGENCIES.** Needs.
- <sup>217</sup> **DRAWBACK.** Rebate. If America sent coffee to England a duty was charged; if this coffee was then exported from England to France, for example, a rebate of this duty was allowed so that its English importer would not be subject to double duty; if, on the other hand, china was imported into England and then sent to America two duties were imposed. Thus the Americans were discriminated against on both their exports and imports. Burke proposes to remove both of these vexatious arrangements.
- <sup>218</sup> **CLANDESTINE RUNNING OF GOODS.** Smuggling.
- <sup>219</sup> **BOSTON PORT BILL.** In March, 1774, in retaliation for the Boston tea party, Parliament closed the Port of Boston.
- <sup>220</sup> **PARTIAL.** Burke explains the meaning of the word partial in the following sentences.
- <sup>221</sup> **FLAGRANT.** Conspicuous.
- <sup>222</sup> **EXCEPTIONABLE.** Objectionable.







LIBRARY OF CONGRESS



0 011 698 497 0